



**DISTRICT AND MUNICIPAL
COURT JUDGES' ASSOCIATION**

BOARD MEETING

November 3, 2017

**AOCSEATAC OFFICE
SEATAC, WASHINGTON**


DISTRICT AND MUNICIPAL COURT JUDGES' ASSOCIATION SCHEDULE OF BOARD MEETINGS

2017-2018

<i>DATE</i>	<i>TIME</i>	<i>MEETING LOCATION</i>
<i>Friday, July 14, 2017 CANCELLED</i>	12:30 — 3:30 p.m.	AOC SeaTac Office Center
<i>Friday, Aug. 11, 2017</i>	12:30 – 3:30 p.m.	AOC SeaTac Office Center
<i>Sunday, Sept. 17, 2017</i>	9:00 a.m. – 12:00 p.m.	2017 Annual Judicial Conference Vancouver, WA
<i>Friday, Oct. 13, 2017</i>	12:30 – 3:30 p.m.	AOC SeaTac Office Center
<i>Friday, Nov. 3, 2017</i>	12:30 – 3:30 p.m.	AOC SeaTac Office Center
<i>Friday, Dec. 8, 2017</i>	12:30 – 3:30 p.m.	AOC SeaTac Office Center
<i>Friday, Jan. 12, 2018</i>	12:30 – 3:30 p.m.	AOC SeaTac Office Center
<i>Friday, Feb. 9, 2018</i>	12:30 – 3:30 p.m.	AOC SeaTac Office Center
<i>Friday, March 9, 2018</i>	12:30 – 3:30 p.m.	AOC SeaTac Office Center
<i>Friday, April 13, 2018</i>	12:30 – 3:30 p.m.	AOC SeaTac Office Center
<i>Friday, May 11, 2018 & Saturday, May 12, 2018</i>	May 11: 12:00-5:00 p.m. May 12: 9:00-1:00 p.m.	TBD
<i>June 3, 2018</i>	9:00 a.m. – 12:00 p.m.	Campbell's Resort Chelan, WA

AOC Staff: Sharon Harvey

Updated: September 7, 2017



AGENDA

Call to Order

- A. Minutes – October 13, 2017
- B. Treasurer’s Report
- C. Special Fund Report
- D. Standing Committee Reports
 - 1. Legislative Committee – *Judge Samuel Meyer*
 - a. Draft 1 – DUI Pretrial Conditions
 - b. Draft 2 – DUI Pretrial Conditions
 - c. Draft 3 – DUI Pretrial Conditions
 - 2. Public Outreach Committee
- E. Trial Court Advocacy Board (TCAB)
- F. Judicial Information Systems (JIS) Report – *Ms. Vicky Cullinane*

1-6

7-10

11-14

15-18

- A. Board for Judicial Administration (**BJA**) – *Judges Ringus, Jasprica, Logan, and Johnson*
- B. District and Municipal Court Management Association (**DMCMA**) – *Ms. Cynthia Marr*
- C. Misdemeanant Probation Association (**MPA**) – *Ms. Stacie Scarpaci*
- D. Superior Court Judges' Association (**SCJA**) – *Judge Blaine Gibson*
- E. Washington State Association for Justice (**WSAJ**) – *Loyd James Willaford, Esq.*

A. Forensic Competency Evaluation Videoconferencing Pilot Program – *Mr. David Luxton*
B. Whether to Obtain a Financial Planner – *Judge Gehlsen*
C. YMCA Youth & Government Annual Fund Drive – Request for Funding

19-37

38-39

<p>Information</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A. Judge Charles Short is a member of the BJA Court System Education Funding Task Force. B. Board members are encouraged to apply for DMCJA representative positions. Available positions include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Minority and Justice Commission 2. Presiding Judge & Administrator Education Committee (Co-Chair) 3. Workgroup on Judicial Independence C. DMCJA Board members are encouraged to submit Board agenda topics for monthly meetings. D. The Municipal Court Judge Swearing-In Ceremony is December 11, 2017, from 9:30 a.m. to 12:00 p.m., in the Supreme Court Courtroom at the Temple of Justice in Olympia, Washington. E. 2017-2018 Nominating Committee Roster (Northeast Region Vacancy Filled) F. Response Letter regarding DMCJA Request for Odyssey Portal Access G. Report to DMCJA regarding Leadership Grant Funding by Judge Marilyn Paja, Kitsap County District Court H. Report to DMCJA regarding Leadership Grant Funding by Judge Janet Garrow, King County District Court I. Sympathy Flowers were sent to Ms. Shannon Hinchcliffe, former AOC Staff for the DMCJA, for the loss of her father. 	<p>40</p> <p>41-43</p> <p>44-45</p> <p>46</p> <p>47</p>
<p>Other Business</p> <p>The next DMCJA Board Meeting is scheduled for December 8, 2017, 12:30 p.m. to 3:30 p.m., at the AOC SeaTac Office in SeaTac, WA.</p>	
<p>Adjourn</p>	
<p>Persons with a disability, who require accommodation, should notify Susan Peterson at 360-705-5278 or susan.peterson@courts.wa.gov to request or discuss accommodations. While notice five days prior to the event is preferred, every effort will be made to provide accommodations, when requested.</p>	



DMCJA Board of Governors Meeting
Friday, October 13, 2017, 12:30 p.m. – 3:30 p.m.
AOC SeaTac Office
SeaTac, WA

MEETING MINUTES

Members Present:

Chair, Judge Scott Ahlf
Judge Linda Coburn
Judge Melanie Dane (by phone)
Judge Karen Donohue (by phone)
Judge Douglas Fair
Judge Michael Finkle
Judge Michael Lambo
Judge G. Scott Marinella
Judge Samuel Meyer
Judge Kevin Ringus (non-voting)
Judge Rebecca Robertson
Judge Douglas Robinson
Judge Charles Short
Judge Judy Jasprica (non-voting)

Guests:

Judge Blaine Gibson, SCJA (by phone)
Ms. Cynthia Marr, DMCMA
Ms. Stacie Scarpaci, MCA
Judge David Steiner

AOC Staff:

Ms. J Benway (by phone)
Ms. Vicky Cullinane
Ms. Callie Dietz
Ms. Sharon R. Harvey
Mr. Brady Horenstein
Mr. Dirk Marler
Ms. Susan Peterson

Members Absent:

Judge Michelle Gehlsen
Judge Dan B. Johnson (non-voting)
Judge Mary Logan (non-voting)
Commissioner Rick Leo
Judge Damon Shadid

CALL TO ORDER

Judge Ahlf, District and Municipal Court Judges' Association (DMCJA) President, noted a quorum was present and called the DMCJA Board of Governors (Board) meeting to order at 12:32 p.m. Judge Ahlf asked attendees to introduce themselves.

GENERAL BUSINESS

A. Minutes

The Board moved, seconded, and passed a vote (M/S/P) to approve the Board Meeting Minutes for September 17, 2017, with one clerical amendment to the Special Fund Report, which should state the balance is approximately "\$50,600."

B. Treasurer's Report

M/S/P to approve the Treasurer's Report. Board members reviewed the Treasurer's Report that was included in the meeting materials.

C. Special Fund Report

M/S/P to approve the Special Fund Report. Judge Robertson reported the transfer to Judge Meyer is still in process. She provided bank statements for the last three months.

D. Standing Committee Reports

1. *Legislative Committee*

Judge Meyer, Legislative Committee Chair, presented the seven legislative proposed bills, which are on the Board agenda for a vote. He informed the Legislative Committee solicited the DMCJA membership for ideas and then narrowed them down to the following seven proposed bills. He explained the first four are hold overs from last year, which include the (a) Discover Pass Bill [2SSB 5342; HB 1478], (b) DNA Samples, (c) Commissioners to Solemnize Marriage [HB 1221], and (d) Small Claims [SB 5175; SHB 1196]), and the others are new ideas this year that include (1) Powers of Commissioners, (2) Interlocal Agreements for Probation Services, and (3) Domestic Violence Protection Order (DVPO), Sexual Assault Protection Order (SAPO), Extension of 14 Day Period for a Full Temporary Order Hearing. He briefly explained each bill to the Board for their consideration and answered Board members' questions. In addition, he informed 2018 is a short legislative session, and since there are a lot of bills this year it will be important to prioritize them. There was discussion regarding the DVPO, SAPO, Extension of 14 Day Period for a Full Temporary Order Hearing bill related to whether the SCJA should take a look at the bill, and the specific language in the bill. It was suggested if the Board gives permission to go forward with the bill, the numbers could be adjusted at a later time if needed. M/S/P to move forward with all seven proposed legislative bills.

Judge Meyer then informed that to address the recent issue in *Blomstrom v. Tripp*, 402 P.3d 831 (2017), regarding urinalyses testing, the issue will be brought up with the DUI Workgroup, which will be convened later this year. In addition, Judge Meyer informed the Senate Law and Justice Committee is having a work session in Spokane on October 24, 2017. One of the items on the agenda is proposed expansion of small claims court jurisdiction, and Judge Jeffrey Smith, Spokane County District Court, will attend and report back to the Committee. The Board discussed taking a position if the proposal moves forward. Judge Meyer will also talk to Judge Brett Buckley, former DMCJA Legislative Committee Chair, about the topic. Lastly, Judge Meyer informed that the Committee discussed bringing back the previous proposal regarding court security; however, due to the new court rule, General Rule (GR) 36, they decided not to pursue the proposal at this time.

2. *Rules Committee*

The Rules Committee minutes from August 23, 2017 were provided for the Board's review.

3. *Therapeutic Courts Committee*

Judge Finkle reported on the results of the Therapeutic Courts Committee (Committee), which was sent to the DMCJA membership in August 2017, and he provided a written summary of the results for the Board. Highlights of the survey included the following: Question 1 (size of court) did not provide a lot of help, and he noted the size does not appear to matter when it comes to these questions. Question 2 (when became interested) showed that over 90% are interested and/or already have a court, and 6% became interested since the conference and would like more information and help. The Committee noted it appears that further conference training should be "nuts and bolts," and not focus on whether or not to actually develop a therapeutic court. In addition, many courts are interested, and committee resources should continue to be spent on those who are interested and need help getting started. Question 3 (options for help) showed that over a third of respondents selected the "other" response and provided several good suggestions, including: neighboring small courts meet with each other; BJA webinars be made available; and forms, guides and checklists be available to help interested judges get started. He noted this does at least indicate that sitting down and sharing ideas is a good idea. In addition, about a third are interested in more DMCJA conference presentations, and more judges would prefer visiting other therapeutic courts with their own teams rather than having a mentor judge or a team visit their court. Question 4 (barriers) showed that funding is a big issue (for 55%), and some judges feel they would be unable to fill a therapeutic court docket (50%). In addition, some are having issues with: buy-in from the defense bar, time commitment, lack of knowledge, and unfavorable political climate. Therefore, the Committee concluded their work should relate to networking opportunities,

development of a “beginner packet” or primer, and providing talking points to help judges sell their projects. Question 5 (interest in judicial training) showed there is a definite interest in additional training, and the Committee concluded, a “nuts and bolts” presentation at conference would likely be welcomed (but it should not be a plenary session), and the webinar option should be explored. Judge Finkle said he believes there are ideas they can come up with to make that happen. He said one suggestion may be setting up a group of judges who are willing to put on a nuts and bolts training, but they do not schedule it until they are asked to do so (thus they are prepared if/when they are needed to do it). Another suggestion was to start out by utilizing some of the therapeutic court policies and procedures (i.e. treating defendants with that kind of justice, without actually having a court), and if there is enough interested then going forward and creating a therapeutic court. The group discussed some ideas, and Judge Finkle answered members’ questions. Ms. Dietz informed some states have gone through a certification process, which helped them get support in the Legislature. She said they did a lot of that in Alabama, and if she can help, she would like to.

E. Trial Court Advocacy Board (TCAB) Update

Judge Marinella reported today’s TCAB meeting was cancelled.

F. Judicial Information Systems (JIS) Report

Ms. Cullinane reported they are working through negotiations with the vendor regarding the Courts of Limited Jurisdiction Case Management System (CLJ-CMS) Project. In the meantime, the Court User Work Group (CUWG) is doing what they can and preparing to start working with the vendor in January 2018. She informed they have visited 126 courts around the state to date and have gained lots of valuable information. She further reported that the Superior Court Case Management System (SC-CMS) Project is in the third to last implementation and expects to be done at the end of November 2018. In addition, she reported that the AOC worked with Judge Ahlf to prepare a letter regarding the Odyssey Portal access, and the letter was mailed to Ms. Barbara Christensen, Washington State Association of County Clerks (WSACC) President. Ms. Cullinane explained the importance of the Odyssey Portal access and why judges will not have access to documents if a county is using a third-party document management system. She further reported once the King County Clerk’s Office goes live in January 2018, updates to existing cases and new cases will not be available in JIS. Everyone will have to access that information in the Judicial Access Browser System (JABS). Lastly, she reported the Department of Licensing (DOL) is currently replacing its systems with a single modern integrated system called DRIVES, and DRIVES Phase 2 will replace the Drivers Licensing System in September 2018. The DRIVES project will require AOC to modify all of its applications that have exchanges with the DOL’s system, and will be a lot of work on top of all the work they are doing on these other large projects. Ms. Cullinane answered Board members’ questions and is available if members have additional questions.

LIAISON REPORTS

A. District and Municipal Court Management Association (DMCMA)

Ms. Cynthia Marr reported the DMCMA has not met since the last Board meeting.

B. Misdemeanant Corrections Association (MCA)

Ms. Stacie Scarpaci, new MCA Liaison to the Board, reported the MCA has their next meeting on Monday, October 16, 2017. She further reported the MCA is changing its name to “Misdemeanant Probation Association.” She informed their spring conference is scheduled for April 30 to May 2, 2018. In addition, she informed there were 21 graduates from the Misdemeanant Corrections Academy.

C. Superior Court Judges' Association (SCJA)

Judge Blaine Gibson, SCJA President Elect, reported the SCJA just completed a court security survey, and the results are being compiled. He informed the results show that 50% of courts in Washington State do not have any security mechanisms. In addition, the SCJA is conducting a survey on committees, because there are so many judges serving on committees. He informed today is the last day to respond to the survey, and he hopes to report on the outcome at the next Board meeting. He further reported the Pretrial Reform Task Force has created three subcommittees: (1) Pretrial Services, (2) Risk Assessment, and (3) Data Collection, and they are getting up and running. Lastly, he reported the SCJA is working on their response to the Salary Commission and provided some background on the topic. He said the SCJA has prepared a letter, and the plan is to send it out this week. Judge Ahlf informed that the Court of Appeals (COA) decided not to sign off on the joint letter; however, the other court levels are on board. Judge Gibson said his understanding is the SCJA's letter is a slight variation from the original letter.

D. Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC)

Ms. Callie Dietz, State Court Administrator, reported the AOC is extremely busy with information technology (IT) projects at this time. In addition, the AOC is working on legislation. Ms. Dietz is also working with Mr. Ramsey Radwan, AOC Management Services Director, on the supplemental budget request.

E. Board for Judicial Administration (BJA)

Judge Ringus, BJA Legislative Committee Chair, reported the next BJA meeting is October 20, 2017, and that Mr. Horenstein, AOC Associate Director of Legislative Relations, is helping with their legislation. Judge Fair informed he is Co-Chair with Judge Joseph Burrowes on a two-year BJA Court System Educational Funding Task Force, and they are looking to recruit DMCJA representative members. Anyone interested in joining the Task Force should contact Judge Fair. Judge Robertson, BJA Policy and Planning Committee Chair, reported the Committee has chosen to survey all legal associations to determine what each association is working on so we can coordinate on specific issues, with the goal of improving the justice system and enabling the judiciary to speak with one voice whenever possible.

ACTION

1. *DMCJA Spring Conference: Whether to Retain Security Officers*

M/S/P to approve spending up to \$2,500 for security officers at the DMCJA Spring Conference by the Chelan County Sheriff's Office.

2. *2018 Legislative Proposals*

M/S/P to approve moving forward with the following proposed legislative bills:

- 1) Discover Pass Bill (2SSB 5342; HB 1478)
- 2) DNA Samples
- 3) Commissioners to Solemnize Marriage (HB 1221)
- 4) Small Claims (SB 5175; SHB 1196)
- 5) Powers of Commissioners
- 6) Interlocal Agreements for Probation Services
- 7) DVPO, SAPO, Extension of 14 Day Period for a Full Temporary Order Hearing

3. *Judicial Independence*

M/S/P to approve the creation of a "Workgroup on Judicial Independence" to convene for a maximum of six (6) months.

DISCUSSION

A. Judicial Independence

Judge Ahlf provided background information about Judicial Independence and explained this topic comes up significantly every four years, and that this year a number of issues came up. Therefore, when the Board had their retreat earlier this year, they decided to refer all issues related to these judicial independence matters to the Judicial Independence Fire Brigade. He noted that sometimes the district and municipal court judges can have different opinions on some issues, and he directed the Board's attention to five particular issues provided in the meeting materials, which have come up in the recent past. There was Board discussion, and it was noted that back in 2000 a lot of work was done on this topic. Back then the BJA tried getting cities, the judiciary, and others together to address the topic, and an attempt was made to create a judicial services model contract. However, in the end there was not a lot of confidence the cities would use it, and the BJA was not sure it would be helpful. The AOC was also concerned that judges should not be under contract at all. Since then there has not been a good consensus on what the approach should be, but a lot of groundwork has been laid that could be useful. The following suggestions were made: a list of issues and responses could be written up to allow the Judicial Independence Fire Brigade to act more quickly, a standard presentation on judicial independence could be created for presentation at legislative conferences and orientations, and an educational aspect needs to be included. Mr. Marler informed that cities have contacted the AOC for assistance with contracts and other judicial independence issues.

In addition, it was suggested a temporary workgroup could be set up, for one purpose for a fixed period of time, to develop ideas and create a system of responses. It would not change the Judicial Independence Fire Brigade (the permanent committee, which would be tasked with following through with any workgroup recommendations and procedures). The workgroup could include the city attorney, cities, and others, along with the following judicial officers: Judge Rebecca Robertson, Judge Kevin Ringus, Judge Michael Lambo, Judge David Steiner, Judge David Larson, Judge Linda Portnoy, Judge Jeffrey Jahns, Judge Michelle Gehlsen, and Judge Melanie Dane. In addition, an advertisement should be sent to the membership listserv to get more municipal court judges involved. Judge Ahlf said the *Ferguson Report* can be used as a resource. Ms. Harvey will also locate the following resources: (1) GR 29(k) workgroup that dealt with contracts and (2) the survey that AOC already sent out that dealt with this topic. Mr. Marler will provide information and background on the topic. M/S/P to move this topic to an action item.

INFORMATION

Judge Ahlf provided the following information for the Board's review.

A. 2017-2018 Nominating Committee Roster

Judges Marinella and Ahlf contacted a judge from northeast Washington and are awaiting the judge's response. Judge Ahlf will reach out to other judges from northeast Washington who may be interested in joining the Committee.

B. Board members are encouraged to apply for DMCJA representative positions. Available positions include:

1. Engrossed Second Substitute House Bill (E2SHB) 1163 - Domestic Violence Perpetrator Treatment Workgroup
2. Presiding Judge & Administrator Education Committee (Co-Chair)
3. BJA Court System Education Funding Task Force
4. Minority and Justice Commission

C. DMCJA Board members are encouraged to submit Board agenda topics for monthly meetings.

- D. SB 6360 Statewide Relicensing Workgroup met on August 31, 2017 and September 15, 2017 to provide the Washington State Office of the Attorney General (OAG) with recommendations regarding a plan for the consolidation of traffic-based financial obligations. The OAG will provide a report to the Legislature, Washington Supreme Court, and Governor by December 1, 2017.
- E. The Municipal Court Judge Swearing-In Ceremony is December 11, 2017, from 9:30 a.m. to 12:00 p.m., in the Supreme Court Courtroom at the Temple of Justice in Olympia, Washington.
- F. DMCJA Follow-Up Letter regarding Request for Odyssey Portal Access

OTHER BUSINESS

The next DMCJA Board Meeting is scheduled for November 3, 2017, 12:30 p.m. to 3:30 p.m., at the AOC SeaTac Office in SeaTac, WA. The Board will discuss whether to obtain a financial planner and view a presentation on the Forensic Competency Evaluation Videoconferencing Pilot Program.

ADJOURNED at 2:37 p.m.

EFFECT: Allows individualized judicial determination of conditions of release for a person arrested and detained for driving under the influence.

1 AN ACT Relating to pretrial release programs for driving under the
2 influence; amending RCW 10.21.055; and creating a new section.

3
4 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:
5
6
7

8 NEW SECTION. **Sec. 1.** The legislature finds that impaired driving
9 continues to be a significant cause of motor vehicle crashes. The
10 legislature further intends to require an individualized determination
11 by a judicial officer of conditions of release for persons in custody
12 for driving under the influence. This requirement is consistent with
13 constitutional requirements and court rules regarding the right of a
14 detained person to a prompt determination of probable cause and
15 judicial review of the conditions of release.

16
17 **Sec. 2.** RCW 10.21.055 and 2016 c 203 s 16 are each amended to
18 read as follows:
19

1 (1) When any person charged with a violation of RCW 46.61.502,
2 46.61.504, 46.61.520, or 46.61.522, is released from custody at
3 arraignment or trial on bail or personal recognizance, the judicial
4 officer must determine whether there are conditions of release that
5 will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the
6 community through review of available information as outlined in RCW
7 10.21.050. The judicial officer may at any time amend the order to
8 impose additional or different conditions of release.

9 (2) Appropriate conditions of release include, but are not be
10 limited to, the following:

11 (a) The defendant may be prohibited from possessing or consuming
12 any intoxicating liquors or drugs not prescribed to the defendant.
13 The defendant may be required to submit to testing to determine the
14 defendant's compliance with this condition;

15 (b) The defendant may be prohibited from operating a motor
16 vehicle that is not equipped with an ignition interlock device; and

17 (c) The defendant may be required to submit to 24/7 sobriety
18 program monitoring as defined in RCW 36.28A.330.

19 ~~((1)(a))~~ (2) When any person charged with a violation of RCW
20 46.61.502, 46.61.504, 46.61.520, or 46.61.522, in which the person
21 has a prior offense as defined in RCW 46.61.5055 and the current
22 offense involves alcohol, is released from custody at arraignment or
23 trial on bail or personal recognizance, the court authorizing the
24 release shall require, as a condition of release that person comply
25 with one of the following four requirements:

26 ~~((1))~~ (a) Have a functioning ignition interlock device
27 installed on all motor vehicles operated by the person, with proof
28 of installation filed with the court by the person or the certified
29 interlock provider within five business days of the date of release
30 from custody or as soon thereafter as determined by the court based
31 on availability within the jurisdiction; or

32 ~~((1))~~ (b) Comply with 24/7 sobriety program monitoring, as
33 defined in RCW 36.28A.330; or

34

1 (~~((iii))~~)(c) Have an ignition interlock device on all motor
2 vehicles operated by the person pursuant to (a)(~~((i))~~) of this
3 subsection and submit to 24/7 sobriety program monitoring pursuant
4 to (~~((a)(ii))~~)(b) of this subsection, if available, or alcohol
5 monitoring, at the expense of the person, as provided in RCW
6 46.61.5055(5) (b) and (c); or
7 (~~((iv))~~)(d) Have an ignition interlock device on all motor
8 vehicles operated by the person and that such person agrees not to
9 operate any motor vehicle without an ignition interlock device as
10 required by the court. Under this subsection (~~((1)(a)(iv))~~)(2)(d),
11 the person must file a sworn statement with the court upon release
12 at arraignment that states the person will not operate any motor
13 vehicle without an ignition interlock device while the ignition
14 interlock restriction is imposed by the court. Such person must also
15 submit to 24/7 sobriety program monitoring pursuant to
16 (~~((a)(ii))~~)(b) of this subsection, if available, or alcohol
17 monitoring, at the expense of the person, as provided in RCW
18 46.61.5055(5) (b) and (c).
19 (~~((b))~~)(3) The court shall immediately notify the department of
20 licensing when an ignition interlock restriction is imposed:
21 (~~((i))~~)(a) As a condition of release pursuant to (~~((a))~~)(2) of this
22 subsection; or (~~((ii))~~)(b) in instances where a person is charged
23 with, or convicted of, a violation of RCW 46.61.502, 46.61.504,
24 46.61.520, or 46.61.522, and the offense involves alcohol. If the
25 court imposes an ignition interlock restriction, the department of
26 licensing shall attach or imprint a notation on the driving record
27 of any person restricted under this section stating that the person
28 may operate only a motor vehicle equipped with a functioning
29 ignition interlock device.
30 (~~((2))~~)(4)(a) Upon acquittal or dismissal of all pending or
31 current charges relating to a violation of RCW 46.61.502, 46.61.504,
32 46.61.520, or 46.61.522, or equivalent local ordinance, the court
33 shall authorize removal of the ignition interlock device and lift
34 any requirement to comply with electronic alcohol/drug monitoring

1 imposed under (~~(subsection (1) of)~~)this section. Nothing in this
2 section limits the authority of the court or department under RCW
3 46.20.720.

4 (b) If the court authorizes removal of an ignition interlock
5 device imposed under this section, the court shall immediately
6 notify the department of licensing regarding the lifting of the
7 ignition interlock restriction and the department of licensing shall
8 release any attachment, imprint, or notation on such person's
9 driving record relating to the ignition interlock requirement
10 imposed under this section.

11 (~~((3))~~)(5) When an ignition interlock restriction imposed as a
12 condition of release is canceled, the court shall provide a
13 defendant with a written order confirming release of the
14 restriction. The written order shall serve as proof of release of
15 the restriction until which time the department of licensing updates
16 the driving record.

17
18
19

--- END ---

EFFECT: Allows for individualized judicial determination of conditions of release for a person arrested and detained for a felony, misdemeanor or gross misdemeanor.

1 AN ACT Relating to pretrial release programs to protect the public
2 from harm; amending RCW 10.21.015, 10.21.030, and 10.21.050; and
3 creating a new section.

4

5 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:

6

7

8

9 NEW SECTION. **Sec. 1.** The legislature finds that bail and other
10 pretrial release programs seek to alleviate those harsh consequences
11 of pretrial detention. While the primary function of bail is to
12 ensure an accused's appearance at court, courts are allowed to pursue
13 other compelling interests through regulation of pretrial release.
14 The legislature further finds that public safety is one such
15 compelling interest and additional measures need to be taken to
16 identify restrictions necessary to protect the public from harm
17 through appropriate sanctions and compliance with court ordered
18 restrictions. The legislature further intends to require an
19 individualized determination by a judicial officer of conditions of

1 release for persons in custody. This requirement is consistent with
2 constitutional requirements and court rules regarding the right of a
3 detained person to a prompt determination of probable cause and
4 judicial review of the conditions of release.

5

6 **Sec. 2.** RCW 10.21.015 and 2015 2nd sp.s. c 3 s 20 are each
7 amended to read as follows:

8 (1) Under this chapter, "pretrial release program" is any
9 program in superior, district or municipal court, either run
10 directly by a county or city, or by a private or public entity
11 through contract with a county or city, into whose custody an
12 offender is released prior to trial and which agrees to supervise
13 the offender. As used in this section, "supervision" includes, but
14 is not limited to, work release, day monitoring, electronic
15 monitoring, or participation in a 24/7 sobriety program.

16 (2) A pretrial release program may not agree to supervise, or
17 accept into its custody, an offender who is currently awaiting trial
18 for a violent offense or sex offense, as defined in RCW 9.94A.030,
19 who has been convicted of one or more violent offenses or sex
20 offenses in the ten years before the date of the current offense,
21 unless the offender's release before trial was secured with a
22 payment of bail.

23

24 **Sec. 3.** RCW 10.21.030 and 2015 c 287 s 5 are each amended to
25 read as follows:

26 (1) The judicial officer in any felony, misdemeanor or gross
27 misdemeanor case may at any time amend the order to impose
28 additional or different conditions of release. The conditions
29 imposed under this chapter supplement but do not supplant provisions
30 of law allowing the imposition of conditions to:

31 (a) assure the appearance of the defendant at trial;

32 (b) protect the public from harm; or

33 (c) to prevent interference with the administration of justice.

34

1 (2) Appropriate conditions of release under this chapter
2 include, but are not limited to, the following:

3 (a) The defendant may be placed in the custody of a pretrial
4 release program;

5 (b) The defendant may have restrictions placed upon travel,
6 association, or place of abode during the period of release;

7 (c) The defendant may be required to comply with a specified
8 curfew;

9 (d) The defendant may be required to return to custody during
10 specified hours or to be placed on electronic monitoring, as defined
11 in RCW 9.94A.030, if available. The defendant, if convicted, may not
12 have the period of incarceration reduced by the number of days spent
13 on electronic monitoring;

14 (e) The defendant may be required to comply with a program of
15 home detention, as defined in RCW 9.94A.030;

16 (f) The defendant may be prohibited from approaching or
17 communicating in any manner with particular persons or classes of
18 persons;

19 (g) The defendant may be prohibited from going to certain
20 geographical areas or premises;

21 (h) The defendant may be prohibited from possessing any
22 dangerous weapons or firearms;

23 (i) The defendant may be prohibited from possessing or consuming
24 any intoxicating liquors or drugs not prescribed to the defendant.
25 The defendant may be required to submit to testing to determine the
26 defendant's compliance with this condition;

27 (j) The defendant may be prohibited from operating a motor
28 vehicle that is not equipped with an ignition interlock device;

29 (k) The defendant may be required to report regularly to and
30 remain under the supervision of an officer of the court or other
31 person or agency; and

32 (l) The defendant may be prohibited from committing any
33 violations of criminal law.

34

1 **Sec. 4.** RCW 10.21.050 and 2010 c 254 s 7 are each amended to
2 read as follows:

3 The judicial officer officer in any felony, misdemeanor or gross
4 misdemeanor case must, in determining whether there are conditions
5 of release that will reasonably assure the safety of any other
6 person and the community, take into account the available
7 information concerning:

8 (1) The nature and circumstances of the offense charged,
9 including whether the offense is a crime of violence;

10 (2) The weight of the evidence against the defendant; and

11 (3) The history and characteristics of the defendant, including:

12 (a) The person's character, physical and mental condition,
13 family ties, employment, financial resources, length of residence in
14 the community, community ties, past conduct, history relating to
15 drug or alcohol abuse, criminal history, and record concerning
16 appearance at court proceedings;

17 (b) Whether, at the time of the current offense or arrest, the
18 defendant was on community supervision, probation, parole, or on
19 other release pending trial, sentencing, appeal, or completion of
20 sentence for an offense under federal, state, or local law; and

21 (c) The nature and seriousness of the danger to any person or
22 the community that would be posed by the defendant's release.

23

24

--- END ---

EFFECT: Allows for individualized judicial determination of conditions of release for a person arrested and detained for a felony, misdemeanor or gross misdemeanor.

Clarifies the definition of home detention for a person charged with a felony offense.

1 AN ACT Relating to pretrial release programs to protect the public
2 from harm; amending RCW 10.21.015, 10.21.017, 10.21.030, and
3 10.21.050; and creating a new section.

4

5 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:

6

7

8

9 NEW SECTION. **Sec. 1.** The legislature finds that bail and other
10 pretrial release programs seek to alleviate those harsh consequences
11 of pretrial detention. While the primary function of bail is to
12 ensure an accused's appearance at court, courts are allowed to pursue
13 other compelling interests through regulation of pretrial release.
14 The legislature further finds that public safety is one such
15 compelling interest and additional measures need to be taken to
16 identify restrictions necessary to protect the public from harm
17 through appropriate sanctions and compliance with court ordered
18 restrictions. The legislature further intends to require an
19 individualized determination by a judicial officer of conditions of

1 release for persons in custody. This requirement is consistent with
2 constitutional requirements and court rules regarding the right of a
3 detained person to a prompt determination of probable cause and
4 judicial review of the conditions of release.

5

6 **Sec. 2.** RCW 10.21.015 and 2015 2nd sp.s. c 3 s 20 are each
7 amended to read as follows:

8 (1) Under this chapter, "pretrial release program" is any
9 program in superior, district or municipal court, either run
10 directly by a county or city, or by a private or public entity
11 through contract with a county or city, into whose custody an
12 offender is released prior to trial and which agrees to supervise
13 the offender. As used in this section, "supervision" includes, but
14 is not limited to, work release, day monitoring, electronic
15 monitoring, or participation in a 24/7 sobriety program.

16 (2) A pretrial release program may not agree to supervise, or
17 accept into its custody, an offender who is currently awaiting trial
18 for a violent offense or sex offense, as defined in RCW 9.94A.030,
19 who has been convicted of one or more violent offenses or sex
20 offenses in the ten years before the date of the current offense,
21 unless the offender's release before trial was secured with a
22 payment of bail.

23

24 **Sec. 3.** RCW 10.21.017 and 2015 c 287 s 6 are each amended to
25 read as follows:

26 Under this chapter where a person charged with a felony offense
27 is ordered to enter a program of home detention, "home detention"
28 means any program meeting the definition of home detention in RCW
29 9.94A.030, and complying with the requirements of RCW 9.94A.736.

30

31 **Sec. 4.** RCW 10.21.030 and 2015 c 287 s 5 are each amended to
32 read as follows:

33 (1) The judicial officer in any felony, misdemeanor or gross
34 misdemeanor case may at any time amend the order to impose

1 additional or different conditions of release. The conditions
2 imposed under this chapter supplement but do not supplant provisions
3 of law allowing the imposition of conditions to:

4 (a) assure the appearance of the defendant at trial;

5 (b) protect the public from harm; or

6 (c) to prevent interference with the administration of justice.

7 (2) Appropriate conditions of release under this chapter
8 include, but are not limited to, the following:

9 (a) The defendant may be placed in the custody of a pretrial
10 release program;

11 (b) The defendant may have restrictions placed upon travel,
12 association, or place of abode during the period of release;

13 (c) The defendant may be required to comply with a specified
14 curfew;

15 (d) The defendant may be required to return to custody during
16 specified hours or to be placed on electronic monitoring, as defined
17 in RCW 9.94A.030, if available. The defendant, if convicted, may not
18 have the period of incarceration reduced by the number of days spent
19 on electronic monitoring;

20 (e) The defendant may be required to comply with a program of
21 home detention. For a felony offense, home detention is, as defined
22 in RCW 9.94A.030;

23 (f) The defendant may be prohibited from approaching or
24 communicating in any manner with particular persons or classes of
25 persons;

26 (g) The defendant may be prohibited from going to certain
27 geographical areas or premises;

28 (h) The defendant may be prohibited from possessing any
29 dangerous weapons or firearms;

30 (i) The defendant may be prohibited from possessing or consuming
31 any intoxicating liquors or drugs not prescribed to the defendant.
32 The defendant may be required to submit to testing to determine the
33 defendant's compliance with this condition;

34

1 (j) The defendant may be prohibited from operating a motor
2 vehicle that is not equipped with an ignition interlock device;

3 (k) The defendant may be required to report regularly to and
4 remain under the supervision of an officer of the court or other
5 person or agency; and

6 (l) The defendant may be prohibited from committing any
7 violations of criminal law.

8

9 **Sec. 5.** RCW 10.21.050 and 2010 c 254 s 7 are each amended to
10 read as follows:

11 The judicial officer officer in any felony, misdemeanor or gross
12 misdemeanor case must, in determining whether there are conditions
13 of release that will reasonably assure the safety of any other
14 person and the community, take into account the available
15 information concerning:

16 (1) The nature and circumstances of the offense charged,
17 including whether the offense is a crime of violence;

18 (2) The weight of the evidence against the defendant; and

19 (3) The history and characteristics of the defendant, including:

20 (a) The person's character, physical and mental condition,
21 family ties, employment, financial resources, length of residence in
22 the community, community ties, past conduct, history relating to
23 drug or alcohol abuse, criminal history, and record concerning
24 appearance at court proceedings;

25 (b) Whether, at the time of the current offense or arrest, the
26 defendant was on community supervision, probation, parole, or on
27 other release pending trial, sentencing, appeal, or completion of
28 sentence for an offense under federal, state, or local law; and

29 (c) The nature and seriousness of the danger to any person or
30 the community that would be posed by the defendant's release.

31

32

--- END ---

Forensic Competency Evaluation Videoconferencing Pilot Project

David D. Luxton, Ph.D., M.S.

Office of Forensic Mental Health Services &

Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences,
University of Washington School of Medicine, Seattle, WA

Agenda

- Background
- Pilot Project Overview
- Legal & Regulatory Review
- Technical and Psychometric Feasibility
- Q&A

Background

- Increasing demand to complete in jail forensic evaluations
- Evaluations must be done in 14 days or less (Trueblood case)
- Travel time to some jails (scheduling challenges)
- Defense attorneys not always available (scheduling delays)

Benefits

- Secure videoconferencing (VC) in jails can allow forensic evaluators to conduct interviews remotely and also allow attorneys to attend from their offices
- Greater efficiency at completing court-ordered competency to stand trial evaluations
- Reduced wait-time for defendants in jail
- VC can be used for other purposes (e.g., court hearings, training, and more).

Pilot Project Purpose

- To establish and evaluate secure videoconferencing links between the state hospitals/facilities and county jails.
- These links will provide the capability for DSHS forensic evaluators to conduct evaluation interviews (i.e., competency to stand trial) from distant sites and also allow attorneys to attend from their offices (if they so choose to).

Pilot Project Steps

- Select up to four sites (County Jails)
- Assess equipment, space, and infrastructure needs
- Security assessment (data privacy, etc.)
- Site-specific protocol/policy development
- Train staff
- Collection of real-world program evaluation data (for up to one year)
- Write report to inform possible expansion

Participating Jails

- Yakima Co. Dept. Corrections (Jail)
- Snohomish Co. Jail
- Island Co. Jail
- Grays Harbor Co. Jail

VC Technology

- Cisco Systems Jabber VC software
 - HIPAA Compliant (Encrypted)
- Large HD Video monitors (52')
- Cisco HD cameras
 - pan/tilt/zoom
- Hardened for safety in jails

Transforming
Lives



Procedures

- Evaluator schedules session
- Jail staff moves defendant to the room
- Evaluator completes the interview/assessment
- Attorneys may attend in person or via VC
- Data collected each session (i.e., connection quality, safety protocol used, etc.)
- Evaluate for up to 1 year, consider expansion

Legal & Regulatory Considerations

Legal Review

- Secure videoconferencing is used by courts nationally and in WA:
 - Pretrial proceedings (e.g., initial appearance, bail, arraignment),
 - Testimony,
 - Grand jury proceedings,
 - Examination or cross-examination of witnesses.
- Case law has supported the use of VC for involuntary commitment (e.g., *United States v. Baker*, 1995)
- U.S. states have implemented programs to evaluate the feasibility of using VC for such hearings (*Supreme Court of Oklahoma, 2014; Supreme Court of South Carolina, 2016*).

(Luxton & Lexcen, 2017)

Legal Review (Cont.)

- Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure (FRCP, Title IX, Rule 43) - Defendants can consent to VC for initial appearances (Rule 5) and arraignments (Rule 10).
- It's a recognized alternative to appearing in person for defendants with minor charges, or when defendants are unable to attend.
- Courts have acknowledged the potential limitations associated with VC relative to in-person appearance, but also note that these limitations do not necessarily cause an individual to be denied due process (*Thornton v. Snyder, 2005*).

182-531-1720 << 182-531-1730 >> 182-531-1750

WAC 182-531-1730

Agency filings affecting this section

Telemedicine.

- (1) Telemedicine is when a health care practitioner uses HIPAA-compliant, interactive, real-time audio and video telecommunications (including web-based applications) or store and forward technology to deliver covered services that are within his or her scope of practice to a client at a site other than the site where the provider is located. If the service is provided through store and forward technology, there must be an associated office visit between the client and the referring health care provider.
- (2) The Medicaid agency does not cover the following services as telemedicine:
 - (a) E-mail, audio only telephone, and facsimile transmissions;
 - (b) Installation or maintenance of any telecommunication devices or systems; and
 - (c) Purchase, rental, or repair of telemedicine equipment.
- (3) **Originating site.** An originating site is the physical location of the client at the time the health care service is provided. Approved originating sites are:
 - (a) Clinics;
 - (b) Community mental health/chemical dependency settings;
 - (c) Dental offices;
 - (d) Federally qualified health centers;
 - (e) Home or any location determined appropriate by the individual receiving the service;
 - (f) Hospitals - Inpatient and outpatient;
 - (g) Neurodevelopmental centers;
 - (h) Physician or other health professional's office;
 - (i) Rural health clinics;
 - (j) Schools; and
 - (k) Skilled nursing facilities.
- (4) **Distant site.** A distant site is the physical location of the health care professional providing the health care service.
- (5) The agency pays an additional facility fee per completed transmission to either the originating site or the distant site, as specified in the agency's program-specific billing instructions.
- (6) If a health care professional performs a separately identifiable service for the client on the same day as the telemedicine service, documentation for both services must be clearly and separately identified in the client's medical record.
- (7) Billing procedures for telemedicine can be found in the agency's program-specific billing instructions.

[Statutory Authority: RCW 41.05.021, 41.05.160. WSR 15-20-063, § 182-531-1730, filed 10/1/15, effective 11/1/15.]

[apps.leg.wa.gov/wac/default.aspx?cite=182-531-1730](https://leg.wa.gov/wac/default.aspx?cite=182-531-1730)

Psychometric & Practical Feasibility

Psychological Assessment with Technologies

Transforming Lives

Professional Psychology: Research and Practice
2014, Vol. 45, No. 1, 27–35

In the public domain
DOI: 10.1037/a0034547

Best Practices for Remote Psychological Assessment via Telehealth Technologies

David D. Luxton
National Center for Telehealth & Technology, Tacoma,
Washington and University of Washington School of Medicine

Larry D. Pruitt and Janyce E. Osenbach
National Center for Telehealth & Technology, Tacoma,
Washington

The use and capabilities of telehealth technologies to conduct psychological assessments remotely are expanding. Clinical practitioners and researchers need to be aware of what influences the psychometric properties of telehealth-based assessments to assure optimal and competent assessments. The purpose of this review is to discuss the specific factors that influence the validity and reliability of remote psychological assessments and to provide best practices recommendations. Specific factors discussed include the lack of physical presence, technological issues, patient and provider acceptance of and comfort with technology, and procedural issues. Psychometric data regarding telehealth-based psychological assessment and limitations to these data, as well as cultural, ethical, and safety considerations are discussed. The information presented is applicable to all mental health professionals who conduct psychological assessment with telehealth technologies.

Keywords: psychological assessment, telehealth, telemental health, video-conferencing, mobile devices

The use of telehealth technologies in psychological practice has steadily increased over the last decade and their use is expected to grow substantially in the years ahead (American Psychological Association, 2010a; Maheu, Pulier, McMenamin, & Posen, 2012). Psychological assessment is an integral component of telemental health (TMH) practice and is necessary for

treatment progress and outcomes. The use of telehealth technologies to conduct psychological assessments from afar can provide convenience, reduce costs (e.g., travel avoidance), and enable access to assessment services when they are otherwise unavailable.

There are many technologies available to clinicians who are

Competency Evaluations

- VC-based evaluations has been tested and shown to be reliable
- Equal or high satisfaction by defendants and practitioners

REGULAR ARTICLE

The Use of Telemedicine to Evaluate Competency to Stand Trial: A Preliminary Randomized Controlled Study

Gina M. Manguno-Mire, PhD, John W. Thompson, Jr, MD, Jay H. Shore, MD, Calvin D. Croy, PhD, Jose F. Artecona, MD, and John W. Pickering, PhD

To investigate whether forensic evaluations can be performed reliably using telemedicine, we compared the results on a standard competency assessment instrument using telemedicine (TM) and live interviews (LI). Two board-certified forensic psychiatrists used the Georgia Court Competency Test (GCCT) to evaluate 21 forensic psychiatric inpatients. Half of the patients were randomly assigned to a telemedicine interview and half were assigned to a live interview. Total scores on the GCCT were similar for both raters, indicating high levels of agreement between telemedicine and live interviews. Patient and provider satisfaction were measured and indicated that, although patients did not express a preference for a particular interview modality, providers reported greater satisfaction with live interviews. Findings suggest that one aspect of competency to stand trial can be reliably evaluated using telemedicine and that patients perceive telemedicine as an acceptable alternative to a standard live interview. The limited sample size precludes definite conclusions and further studies involving a larger forensic sample are warranted.

Proposed Process

- Pilot study is limited to 4 sites (presently)
- Misdemeanants only (consider low level felony?)
- Amend court order to include VC option?
- Defendant Consent/Informed
- Used for live interview process (testing, if required, will be in person)
- Always have alternate plan (revert to in-person).

Q & A

David D. Luxton, PhD., M.S.
Office of Forensic Mental Health Services
Behavioral Health Administration
Department of Social and Health Services
(360) 725-3479 / david.luxton@dshs.wa.gov

References

- Adjorlolo, S., & Heng Choon (Oliver) Chan. (2015). Forensic Assessment via Videoconferencing: Issues and Practice Considerations *Journal of Forensic Psychology Practice*, 15, 185–204. doi: 10.1080/15228932.2015.1015363
- Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure (FRCP, Title IX, Rule 43)
- Luxton, D. D. & Lexcen, F. (2017). Forensic Competency Evaluations via Videoconferencing: A Feasibility Review and Best Practice Recommendations, *Professional Psychology: Research & Practice*
- Lexcen, F. J., Hawk, G. L., Herrick, S. & Blank, M. B. (2006). Use of Video Conferencing for Psychiatric and Forensic Evaluations. *Psychiatric Services*, 57(5). 713-5. DOI: 10.1176/ps.2006.57.5.713
- Luxton, D. D., Nelson, E. & Maheu, M. (2016). A Practitioner's Guide to Telemental Health, Washington DC: American Psychological Association Books.
- Luxton, D. D., Pruitt, L. D. & Osenbach, J. E. (2014). Best Practices for Remote Psychological Assessment via Telehealth Technologies. *Professional Psychology: Research & Practice*. 45, 27-35. doi: 10.1037/a0034547
- Luxton, D. D., Sirotin, A. P., & Mishkind, M. C. (2010). Safety of Telemental Health Care Delivered to Clinically Unsupervised Settings: A Systematic Review. *Telemedicine and e-Health*, 16, 705-711.
- Manguno-Mire, G. M., Thompson, J. W., Shore, J. H., Croy, C. D., Artecona, J. F., & Pickering, J. W. (2007). The use of telemedicine to evaluate competency to stand trial: A preliminary randomized controlled study. *Journal of the American Academy of Psychiatry and the Law Online*, 35(4), 481–489.
- McMillan, J. (2010). Videoconferencing Survey of 2010 Results. National Center for State Courts. Available at: <http://www.ncsc.org/services-and-experts/areas-of-expertise/technology/ncsc-video-conferencing-survey.aspx>
- National Center for State Courts (2017). NCSC Video Conferencing Survey. Available at: <http://www.ncsc.org/services-and-experts/areas-of-expertise/technology/ncsc-video-conferencing-survey.aspx>
- In re Pilot Program for Videoconferencing in the District Court SCAD-2014-15. *Oklahoma Bar Journal*, 85, 18, Available at: <http://www.okbar.org/Portals/13/PDF/OBJ/2014/OBJ2014Jul12.pdf>

PLEDGE STATEMENT

AOC FISCAL
RECEIVED

OCT 26 2017



Account ID#: 90000121283

Washington District and Municipal Court Judges
PO Box 41170
Olympia, WA 98504

YMCA of Greater Seattle
909 4th Avenue
Seattle, WA 98104

(206) 382-5022

Thank you for your generous pledge to the YMCA's Annual Fund Drive!

PLEDGE STATUS

If you have recently paid this pledge, please disregard this notice.

2017 Annual Fund Drive Youth and Government

Statement Date:	10/16/2017
Pledge Amount:	\$1,600.00
Paid to Date:	\$0.00
Balance:	\$1,600.00
Current Amount Due:	\$1,600.00
Please pay this amount:	\$1,600.00

Please return this portion of the reminder with your payment

10

2017 Annual Fund Drive Youth and Government

Washington District and Municipal Court Judges
Account ID#: 90000121283

Payment Amount: _____

- ☐ Payment by check made payable to the YMCA of Greater Seattle.
☐ Payment by: ☐ VISA ☐ MasterCard ☐ Discover ☐ American Express

Credit Card # _____

Exp. Date _____

Payment Authorization Signature _____

Date _____



FOR YOUTH DEVELOPMENT®
FOR HEALTHY LIVING
FOR SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY

July 26, 2017

Shannon Hinchcliffe
Washington District and Municipal Court Judges
PO Box 41170
Olympia WA 98504-1170

Dear Shannon,

It gives me great pleasure to recognize you as a *Distinguished Donor* for Youth & Government of the YMCA of Greater Seattle. Our Distinguished Donor designation was created in 2013 to recognize a special group of our members and friends who made a gift of \$1,000 or more to either the YMCA Organization, or to a local branch. Together, your generosity and support have a profound influence on our organization.

Collectively, during the past year, our Distinguished Donors have raised \$21,938,355 — a figure that has tremendous impact and makes a significant difference in our neighborhoods. As a contributor, we thank you for your commitment and support, which vastly increases our ability to further our mission of "building a community where all people, especially the young, are encouraged to develop their fullest potential in spirit, mind, and body." Your gift directly supports the programs that we provide to children and families, and the amount of financial assistance we are able to offer to those in need.

The importance of philanthropy in our community cannot be underestimated. Your gift ensures that all can be served without regard to economic circumstances and encourages other community members and business leaders to contribute.

We are deeply appreciative of your commitment to our community. Thank you for believing in the importance of our work, and that together we make a difference to every child and family we reach. On their behalf, we thank you.

Warm regards,

Brent Gaither
Interim Director
YMCA Youth & Government

Robert B. Gilbertson, Jr.
President/CEO
YMCA of Greater Seattle



2017-2018 District and Municipal Court Judges' Association Nominating Committee

Listserv Address: DMCJANC@listserv.courts.wa.gov

Members

Judge G. Scott Marinella, Chair
Columbia County District Court
535 Cameron St
Dayton, WA 99328-1279
509-382-4812
gsm.judge@gmail.com

Judge Steven R. Buzzard
Winlock Municipal Court
PO Box 59 (preferred address)
Centralia, WA 98531-0059
360-736-1108
360-304-9212
buzzard9333@comcast.net

Judge James N. Docter
Bremerton Municipal Court
550 Park Ave
Bremerton, WA 98337
360-473-5215
james.docter@ci.bremerton.wa.us

Judge Jennifer Fassbender
Airway Heights Municipal Court
1208 S Lundstrom St
Airway Heights, WA 99001-9000
509-244-2773
jlfassbender@jcooney.com

**Judge Willie J. Gregory
Diversity Chair Position**
Seattle Municipal Court
Seattle Justice Center
600 5th Ave
PO Box 34987
Seattle, WA 98124-4987
206-684-8711
willie.gregory@seattle.gov

Judge John Hart
Colfax Municipal Court
400 N Mills St
Colfax, WA 99111-0229
509-397-3861
hartlaw@pullman.com

Judge Kristian E. Hedine
Walla Walla Co. District Court
317 W Rose St
Walla Walla, WA 99362-1881
509-524-2760
khedine@co.walla-walla.wa.us

Judge Tyson R. Hill
Grant County District Court
35 C St NW, Fl 3
PO Box 37
Ephrata, WA 98823-0037
509-754-2011, ext 3128
trhill@grantcountywa.gov

Judge Glenn M. Phillips
Kent Municipal Court
1220 Central Ave S
Kent, WA 98032-7426
253-856-5734
gphillips@kentwa.gov

AOC Staff
Susan Peterson
Admin. Office of the Courts
PO Box 41170
Olympia, WA 98504-1170
360-705-5278
susan.peterson@courts.wa.gov

Charges

1. The Nominating Committee shall annually select not more than two candidates for Vice-President, Secretary/Treasurer, President-Elect, and three Board member-at-large positions. The Board member-at-large positions shall be for three-year terms.
2. The report of the Nominating Committee shall be submitted to the Board at its March meeting. The names of the nominees will be published in the written notice of the Spring Conference and in the Minutes of the Board's March meeting. Nominations for all offices except President may be made by the members at the Spring Conference.
3. The Nominating Committee shall make nominations for other vacancies on the Board.

Budget

Budget: \$400

Updated 10/24/2017

N:\Programs & Organizations\DMCJA\Committees\17-18 COMMITTEE ROSTERS.doc



WASHINGTON STATE
ASSOCIATION OF
COUNTY CLERKS

Barbara Christensen, President
Clallam County Clerk
223 E 4th St, Suite 9
Port Angeles, WA 98362-3015
360-417-2231
BChristensen@co.clallam.wa.us

October 25, 2017

Honorable Judge Scott K. Ahlf
President, DMCJA
Washington Courts
PO Box 41170
Olympia, WA 98504-1170

RE: DMCJA Odyssey Portal Access Request

Dear Judge Ahlf,


There are a few things to consider about the Odyssey portal and access to Superior Court documents. Through the portal only those County Clerks utilizing the Odyssey DMS will have documents available for viewing through the Odyssey portal.

King County and Pierce County utilize their own Case Management Systems and will not be using Odyssey, or Odyssey DMS. Jefferson, Clallam, San Juan, Klickitat, and Skamania have elected to maintain their current DMS and will not be using Odyssey DMS. I believe Clark and Spokane may also be keeping their DMS, however, they are not scheduled to go live with Odyssey until late 2018, so I cannot speak for them. There are 12 counties going live in June of 2018 and there are a number of those counties that will also be keeping their own DMS.


If the goal of the DMCJA is to access documents from **all** counties through the Odyssey portal, your goal will not be achieved by going through the Odyssey portal. Another consideration, with Odyssey still being rolled out, additional projects such as this may pull resources away from the next rollout event that will include 12 counties.

Perhaps this may be something that can be considered once the rollout is complete and we have a better understanding of how many counties this will actually apply to. Perhaps there is another way to get the DMCJA **all** documents from all counties, such as a data repository for NCO, protection orders, stalking and similar orders.

Sincerely,



Barbara Christensen
President, WSACC
Clallam County Clerk



cc: Ms. Callie Dietz, Washington State Court Administrator, AOC



WASHINGTON STATE
ASSOCIATION OF
COUNTY CLERKS

Barbara Christensen, President
Clallam County Clerk
223 E 4th St, Suite 9
Port Angeles, WA 98362-3015
360-417-2231
BChristensen@co.clallam.wa.us

October 12, 2017

Honorable Judge Scott K. Ahlf
President, DMCJA
Washington Courts
PO Box 41170
Olympia, WA 98504-1170


RE: DMCJA Odyssey Portal Access Request

Dear Judge Ahlf,

This is in response to your letter dated October 6, 2017, requesting access to Superior Court records through the Odyssey Portal.

The next WSACC Executive Board meeting will be held on Thursday, October 19th, 2017. I have added your request to the agenda. I will reach out to you and Ms. Callie Dietz after the meeting.

Sincerely,


Barbara Christensen
President, WSACC
Clallam County Clerk

cc: Ms. Callie Dietz, Washington State Court Administrator, AOC

Kitsap County District Court
State of Washington



CLAIRE A. BRADLEY
JUDGE, DEPARTMENT 1

JEFFREY J. JAHNS
PRESIDING JUDGE, DEPARTMENT 2

614 DIVISION STREET
PORT ORCHARD, WA 98366
360-337-7109

MARILYN G. PAJA
JUDGE, DEPARTMENT 3

kitsapgov.com/dc KCDC@co.kitsap.wa.us

STEPHEN J. HOLMAN
JUDGE, DEPARTMENT 4

CLINT L. CASEBOLT
COURT ADMINISTRATOR

To: DMCJA President Judge Alf
and DMCJA Board

From: Judge Marilyn Paja

Regarding: Report to DMCJA re Leadership Grant Funding

Date: 25 October 2017

I write to express my thanks to the DMCJA Board for its continuing support of limited jurisdiction court judges to participate in national judicial organizations. The DMCJA National Leadership Grant is unique to our organization, and is so helpful in providing support for judges (including me and others) to retain active membership and leadership in organizations such as the National Association of Women Judges (NAWJ). We are able to bring back information about issues facing judges from around the country. And in the case of the NAWJ, that includes issues from around the world – judges from twenty-four countries were included in the educational opportunities at the conference I just attended..

The Annual Conference of the NAWJ was held earlier this month in Atlanta Georgia. I have to confess that the real excitement was in the major speakers: Former President Jimmy Carter and his wife Roslyn Carter spoke in an informal interview setting for an hour and a half. And then, former FBI Acting Director Sally Yates spoke about her 27-year career with the Department of Justice, and her view of the Constitutional crisis that required her to submit her resignation earlier this year. Wow, you really had to be there.

This year several other Washington state judicial officers attended the NAWJ Annual Conference, Judge Janet Garrow, Judge Karen Donohue, and Justice Susan Owens among them. Each of these attendees may have comments to share about the education offered.

As some of you may remember in prior years, other NAWJ attendees and I have referred our Washington State Judiciary several cutting-edge educational sessions that originated with the NAWJ

(among these, immigration consequences on DV survivors, firearms consequences of DV, and judicial understanding of transgender issues which was presented at the Fall 2017 conference).

As in prior years, there were some excellent topics presented including several related to labor trafficking, elder financial abuse and elder wrongful eviction from nursing homes among them. Each session might be considered by our own DMCJA Education Committee with the content modified to reflect local issues and interests. In most cases local speakers could present the issues; however in a few cases the national speakers were really terrific. (I always have to note that, by and large, Washington state judicial education is top-notch: in large part benefited by our AOC Education staff and the “Train-the-Trainer” Adult Education models that are utilized by most of our speakers. Sometimes the NAWJ programs are too much ‘talking heads’ from the podium. When imported to Washington, we only make these educational programs stronger.)

In my opinion, these were the most interesting two sessions that I attended that might be able to be presented here in Washington by our own education committees:

Lessons for Judges from the Civil Rights Movement – with local talent, several presenters were active lawyers or judges in the 1950’s, 60’s and 70’s in the Southeast, and others were law clerks or biography authors. This was a fascinating look back at the work that judges from the 4, 6 and 11th Circuits did in response to law suits filed during the most active civil rights era. What was it like to practice law as an African American? What pioneering and brave steps did some federal judges take and what were the consequences for them, their colleagues and families? This could be replicated here in Washington somewhat like the Holocaust presentation we had at a Fall Conference some years ago. Big, rule of law issues were discussed in a historical framework that has possible present-day application.

Trauma Informed Courts -- joined by judges who handle drug court and veteran’s courts, the panelists included Dr. Lisa Callahan PHD, Senior Research Associate, Policy Research Associates Inc., who explained trauma in this context better than I have ever heard it. More than just DV or crime victims, trauma can affect everyone, and there are things that we can do in our courtrooms to provide a more neutral and accessible courtroom for everyone who comes in the door. Excellent suggestions and presentation. Judge Michael Key and Judge Peggy Walker, both Georgia Court judges and both former Presidents of the National Council of Juvenile & Family Court Judges (NCJFC) were terrific presenters whose perspectives simply made sense to me.

Again, I thank you for supporting my attendance at the National Association of Women Judges Annual Conference. I completed my term as Vice President of Districts (serving on the Board), and will serve as a Committee Chair for the year 2017-18.

Cc: Judge Charles Short, Chair DMCJA Education Committee

KING COUNTY DISTRICT COURT
East Division – Bellevue Courthouse

Judge Janet E. Garrow
Assistant Presiding Judge

1309-114th Ave SE
Bellevue, WA 98004
206-477-2100

Josie Jimenez
Court Manager

October 27, 2017

President Scott Ahlf and
DMCJA Board
c/o Sharon Harvey, AOC
Olympia, WA

Re: Thank you


Dear President Ahlf and DMCJA Board Members:

Thank you for the generous DMCJA scholarship to attend the National Association of Women Judges' (NAWJ) recent conference in Atlanta, GA. Not only was this a gathering of women judges from around the United States, there was also a large group of women judges from other countries. As you might imagine, the conversations with domestic and international judges were very rich and enlightening. An added plus of having the conference in Atlanta was the opportunity to attend functions and explore the rich civil rights history of this great city.

There were many excellent speakers on a variety of topics, including the opportunity to hear former President Jimmy Carter and Rosalynn Carter, and former U.S. Attorney Sally Yates. Their incredible hard work and commitment to the Rule of Law is inspiring. One of the best presentations was given on the last day of the conference by retired Judge Lisa Foster of Orange County, California. Her topic, "Rethinking the Judicial Role in Civil and Criminal Cases", focused on the significant adverse impacts legal financial obligations (LFOs) have on parties in criminal and civil cases. From time to time, we as judges hear or read about some horrendous story of the disparate impact of LFOs on the poor. In her retirement, Judge Foster continues to work on ways to address this issue across the country.

Attending this national conference provided me with a deeper awareness of the many barriers people have accessing justice here in Washington, in other states, and internationally. It also enforced my appreciation of the hard work the DMCJA, SCJA and AOC do year-round to ensure that all Washington judges have the opportunity for outstanding judicial education. The NAWJ conference, and my recent participation with other Washington NAWJ in a conference with women inmates at the Mission Creek Correctional Facility, have provided me with ideas for Washington's judicial education programs, and renewed my energy for finding ways to improve our justice system.

Sincerely,


Janet E. Garrow
Judge

Flowers By Chi
253-946-8200

PAYMENT INFORMATION

Billed To:

Judge Michelle Gehlsen
 Bothell Municipal Court, 10116 NE 183rd St
 Bothell, WA 98011
 United States

Payment Type:

MasterCard

Credit Card Last 4 Digits:

**** * 6977

DELIVERY INFORMATION

Deliver To:

Shannon Hinchcliffe
 522 75th Way NE
 Olympia, WA 98506
 United States

Deliver On:

10/31/2017

Gift Message:

Our warmest condolences on the loss of your Father.
 District and Municipal Court Judges' Association

Substitution Policy:

As indicated on your order form, you agree that if your selection is unavailable, a substitution of comparable quality and value will be delivered.

ORDER SUMMARY

Order Confirmation:
64821



Peaceful White Lilies
 Basket - Standard \$69.95

Delivery: \$9.00

Tax: \$7.90

Subtotal: \$86.85

Grand Total: \$86.85

Total includes both delivery and tax less coupons or promotions.

Thank you for choosing Flowers By Chi. We look forward to serving you.

Sincerely, Chi Nguyen | Flowers By Chi www.flowersbychi.com

To ensure a faster checkout when you visit us again, please be sure to login using your e-mail address and the password you created.



DMCJA BOARD MEETING
FRIDAY, NOVEMBER 3, 2017
12:30 PM – 3:30 PM
AOC SEATAC OFFICE
SEATAC, WA

PRESIDENT SCOTT K. AHLF

SUPPLEMENTAL AGENDA

PAGE

Call to Order

General Business

- | | |
|---|----------------|
| A. Minutes – October 13, 2017 | 1-6 |
| B. Treasurer’s Report | X1-X12 |
| C. Special Fund Report | X13 |
| D. Standing Committee Reports | |
| 1. Legislative Committee – <i>Judge Samuel Meyer</i> | |
| a. Draft 1 – DUI Pretrial Conditions | 7-10 |
| b. Draft 2 – DUI Pretrial Conditions | 11-14 |
| c. Draft 3 – DUI Pretrial Conditions | 15-18 |
| d. Meeting Minutes for September 8, 2017 | X14-X18 |
| 2. Public Outreach Committee | X19-X20 |
| E. Trial Court Advocacy Board (TCAB) | |
| F. Judicial Information Systems (JIS) Report – <i>Ms. Vicky Cullinane</i> | |

Liaison Reports

- | | |
|--|--|
| A. Board for Judicial Administration (BJA) – <i>Judges Ringus, Jasprica, Logan, and Johnson</i> | |
| B. District and Municipal Court Management Association (DMCMA) – <i>Ms. Cynthia Marr</i> | |
| C. Misdemeanant Probation Association (MPA) – <i>Ms. Stacie Scarpaci</i> | |
| D. Superior Court Judges’ Association (SCJA) – <i>Judge Blaine Gibson</i> | |
| E. Washington State Association for Justice (WSAJ) – <i>Loyd James Willaford, Esq.</i> | |

Discussion

- | | |
|---|-------|
| A. Forensic Competency Evaluation Videoconferencing Pilot Program – <i>Mr. David Luxton</i> | 19-37 |
| B. Whether to Obtain a Financial Planner – <i>Judge Gehlsen</i> | |
| C. YMCA Youth & Government Annual Fund Drive – Request for Funding | 38-39 |

<p>Information</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A. Judge Charles Short is a member of the BJA Court System Education Funding Task Force. B. Board members are encouraged to apply for DMCJA representative positions. Available positions include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Minority and Justice Commission 2. Presiding Judge & Administrator Education Committee (Co-Chair) 3. Workgroup on Judicial Independence C. DMCJA Board members are encouraged to submit Board agenda topics for monthly meetings. D. The Municipal Court Judge Swearing-In Ceremony is December 11, 2017, from 9:30 a.m. to 12:00 p.m., in the Supreme Court Courtroom at the Temple of Justice in Olympia, Washington. E. 2017-2018 Nominating Committee Roster (Northeast Region Vacancy Filled) F. Response Letter regarding DMCJA Request for Odyssey Portal Access G. Report to DMCJA regarding Leadership Grant Funding by Judge Marilyn Paja, Kitsap County District Court H. Report to DMCJA regarding Leadership Grant Funding by Judge Janet Garrow, King County District Court I. Sympathy Flowers were sent to Ms. Shannon Hinchcliffe, former AOC Staff for the DMCJA, for the loss of her father. 	<p></p> <p>40</p> <p>41-43</p> <p>44-45</p> <p>46</p> <p>47</p>
<p>Other Business</p> <p>The next DMCJA Board Meeting is scheduled for December 8, 2017, 12:30 p.m. to 3:30 p.m., at the AOC SeaTac Office in SeaTac, WA.</p>	<p></p>
<p>Adjourn</p>	<p></p>
<p>Persons with a disability, who require accommodation, should notify Susan Peterson at 360-705-5278 or susan.peterson@courts.wa.gov to request or discuss accommodations. While notice five days prior to the event is preferred, every effort will be made to provide accommodations, when requested.</p>	<p></p>

Christina E Huwe
Pierce County Bookkeeping
1504 58th Way SE
Auburn, WA 98092
Phone (360) 710-5937
E-Mail: piercecountybookkeeping@comcast.net

SUMMARY OF REPORTS

**WASHINGTON STATE
DISTRICT AND MUNICIPAL COURT JUDGES' ASSOCIATION**

For the Period Ending October 31st, 2017

Please find attached the following reports for you to review:

- Statement of Financial Position
- Monthly Statement of Activities
- Bank Reconciliation Reports
- Transaction Detail Report (year-to-date)
- Current Information
- Current Budget Balance
- Last year Budget Balance

Please contact me if you have any questions in regards to the attached.

PLEASE BE SURE TO KEEP FOR YOUR RECORDS

Washington State District And Municipal Court Judges Assoc.
Statement of Financial Position
As of October 31, 2017

	Oct 31, 17
ASSETS	
Current Assets	160,372
Fixed Assets	
Accumulated Depreciation	(617)
Computer Equipment	579
Total Fixed Assets	(38)
Other Assets	
Prepaid Expenses	27,333
Total Other Assets	27,333
TOTAL ASSETS	187,667
LIABILITIES & EQUITY	
Liabilities	
Current Liabilities	
Credit Cards	
Bank of America C. C.	87
Total Credit Cards	87
Total Current Liabilities	87
Total Liabilities	87
Equity	187,580
TOTAL LIABILITIES & EQUITY	187,667

Washington State District And Municipal Court Judges Assoc.
Statement of Activities
For the Four Months Ending October 31, 2017

	Jul 17	Aug 17	Sep 17	Oct 17	TOTAL
Ordinary Income/Expense					
Income					
2017 Special Fund	0	50	50	0	100
Interest Income	14	5	10	1	29
Total Income	<u>14</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>129</u>
Gross Profit	14	55	60	1	129
Expense					
Judicial College Program Suppor	0	0	1,500	0	1,500
Prior Year Budget Expense	2,458	3,488	661	0	6,606
Board Meeting Expense	0	435	2,004	954	3,392
Bookkeeping Expense	0	315	829	310	1,454
Conference Calls	0	0	37	54	90
Diversity Committee	0	86	0	0	86
Education Committee	0	0	0	290	290
Educational Grants	0	0	1,000	0	1,000
Judicial Assistance Committee	0	(6,200)	3,342	1,083	(1,775)
Legislative Committee	0	161	106	41	307
Lobbyist Contract	5,417	5,417	5,417	5,417	21,667
MCA Liaison	0	220	0	0	220
President Expense	0	0	208	0	208
Treasurer Expense and Bonds	0	0	0	87	87
99 - Depreciation Expense	10	10	10	10	38
Bank Service Charges	0	0	14	(14)	0
Interest Expense	18	0	0	0	18
Total Expense	<u>7,902</u>	<u>3,931</u>	<u>15,126</u>	<u>8,231</u>	<u>35,191</u>
Net Ordinary Income	<u>(7,888)</u>	<u>(3,876)</u>	<u>(15,067)</u>	<u>(8,231)</u>	<u>(35,061)</u>
Net Income	<u><u>(7,888)</u></u>	<u><u>(3,876)</u></u>	<u><u>(15,067)</u></u>	<u><u>(8,231)</u></u>	<u><u>(35,061)</u></u>

7:26 AM

Washington State District And Municipal Court Judges Assoc.

11/01/17

Reconciliation Detail

Bank of America - Checking, Period Ending 10/31/2017

Type	Date	Num	Name	Clr	Amount	Balance
Beginning Balance						12,855.62
Cleared Transactions						
Checks and Payments - 20 items						
Check	09/27/2017	online	Michelle Gehlsen	X	-212.60	-212.60
Check	09/27/2017	online	Michael Finkle	X	-212.60	-425.20
Check	09/27/2017	online	Dan B Johnson	X	-196.60	-621.80
Check	09/28/2017	online	Ingallina's Box Lunch	X	-105.99	-727.79
Check	09/29/2017	online	Barbara Barnes	X	-1,125.00	-1,852.79
Check	09/29/2017	online	Kimberly Walden	X	-42.10	-1,894.89
Check	10/03/2017	online	Judy Jasprica	X	-212.60	-2,107.49
Check	10/03/2017	online	Melanie Dane	X	-212.00	-2,319.49
Check	10/13/2017	online	Melanie Stewart	X	-2,000.00	-4,319.49
Check	10/13/2017	online	AOC	X	-794.79	-5,114.28
Check	10/13/2017	online	Christeine Michelle ...	X	-750.00	-5,864.28
Check	10/13/2017	online	Pierce County Book...	X	-310.00	-6,174.28
Check	10/17/2017	online	Charles Short	X	-255.73	-6,430.01
Check	10/17/2017	online	Samuel G. Meyer	X	-53.50	-6,483.51
Check	10/17/2017	online	Linda Coburn	X	-33.17	-6,516.68
Check	10/17/2017	online	Douglas Fair	X	-32.10	-6,548.78
Check	10/17/2017	online	Michael J. Lambo	X	-25.68	-6,574.46
Check	10/17/2017	online	Kevin Ringus	X	-21.40	-6,595.86
Check	10/17/2017	online	Michael Finkle	X	-16.05	-6,611.91
Check	10/17/2017	online	Douglas B. Robinson	X	-15.00	-6,626.91
Total Checks and Payments					-6,626.91	-6,626.91
Deposits and Credits - 1 item						
General Journal	10/06/2017	CEH		X	14.00	14.00
Total Deposits and Credits					14.00	14.00
Total Cleared Transactions					-6,612.91	-6,612.91
Cleared Balance					-6,612.91	6,242.71
Uncleared Transactions						
Checks and Payments - 1 item						
Check	02/11/2014	7276	Douglas Goelz		-84.00	-84.00
Total Checks and Payments					-84.00	-84.00
Deposits and Credits - 1 item						
Check	10/31/2017	1062	DMCMA		86.85	86.85
Total Deposits and Credits					86.85	86.85
Total Uncleared Transactions					2.85	2.85
Register Balance as of 10/31/2017					-6,610.06	6,245.56
Ending Balance					-6,610.06	6,245.56

7:27 AM

11/01/17

Washington State District And Municipal Court Judges Assoc.

Reconciliation Detail

Bank of America - Savings, Period Ending 10/31/2017

Type	Date	Num	Name	Clr	Amount	Balance
Beginning Balance						32,795.53
Cleared Transactions						
Deposits and Credits - 1 item						
Deposit	10/31/2017			X	0.56	0.56
Total Deposits and Credits					0.56	0.56
Total Cleared Transactions					0.56	0.56
Cleared Balance					0.56	32,796.09
Register Balance as of 10/31/2017					0.56	32,796.09
Ending Balance					0.56	32,796.09

Washington State District And Municipal Court Judges Assoc. Transaction Detail by Account

July through October 2017

Type	Date	Num	Name	Memo	Amount	Balance
Bank of America - Checking						
Check	07/10/2017	online	Melanie Stewart		(2,000.00)	(2,000.00)
Check	07/10/2017	online	Pierce County Bookkeeping	LW3BG-3CWL5 June Invoice 615	(315.00)	(2,315.00)
Check	07/10/2017	online	AOC	LW3DF-ZQCW7 Invoice MS061917-02	(1,431.66)	(3,746.66)
Check	07/10/2017	online	Rebecca Robertson	LW3D6-GRHPN	(112.98)	(3,859.64)
Check	07/10/2017	online	G. Scott Marinella	LW3CV-WGPFQ	(181.92)	(4,041.56)
Check	07/30/2017	online	AOC	LY60X-MBGW	(416.26)	(4,457.82)
Check	08/01/2017	online	Kevin Ringus	LYCY7-W0P1G	(141.98)	(4,599.80)
Check	08/01/2017				(18.23)	(4,618.03)
Check	08/02/2017	online	Douglas B. Robinson	LYCYB-ZT8C1	(220.44)	(4,838.47)
Check	08/02/2017	online	Pierce County Bookkeeping	LYCYN-PB64H	(315.00)	(5,153.47)
Deposit	08/02/2017			Superior Court Judges Association	6,500.00	1,346.53
Check	08/16/2017	online	Pierce County Bookkeeping		(50.00)	1,296.53
Check	08/18/2017	online	Ingallina's Box Lunch		(352.44)	944.09
Check	08/18/2017	online	Melanie Stewart		(2,000.00)	(1,055.91)
Check	08/18/2017	online	Linda Coburn		(86.34)	(1,142.25)
Check	08/31/2017	online	Samuel G. Meyer		(53.50)	(1,195.75)
Check	08/31/2017	online	Scott Ahlf		(53.50)	(1,249.25)
Check	08/31/2017	online	AOC		(82.42)	(1,331.67)
Check	08/31/2017	online	AOC	Prior Year Budget Expense	(3,232.92)	(4,564.59)
Check	08/31/2017	online	Melanie Stewart	M1C02-39W8Z	(53.50)	(4,618.09)
Check	08/31/2017	online	Susanna Neil Kanther-Raz	M1C05-L7XQV	(300.00)	(4,918.09)
Check	08/31/2017	online	Rebecca Robertson	board meeting	(112.98)	(5,031.07)
Deposit	08/31/2017			Deposit	50.00	(4,981.07)
Check	09/01/2017	online	Judicial Conf. Registrar	M1C0X-CXFH3	(1,500.00)	(6,481.07)
Check	09/14/2017	online	Melanie Stewart	Invoice 4462 M25F2-8JLLH	(2,000.00)	(8,481.07)
Check	09/14/2017	online	Pierce County Bookkeeping	M25DX-Q5LTD	(303.75)	(8,784.82)
Check	09/14/2017	online	Cave B	M2SBS-1YND8	(2,163.61)	(10,948.43)
Check	09/15/2017	online	Kelli E. Osler	M2GYC03W9BR	(1,000.00)	(11,948.43)
Check	09/15/2017	online	Charles Short	M2GYX-05SCF	(256.80)	(12,205.23)
Check	09/15/2017	online	David Steiner	M2GYH-CLKRF	(83.04)	(12,288.27)
Check	09/15/2017	online	Scott Ahlf	M2GYX-08FTF	(53.50)	(12,341.77)
Check	09/15/2017	online	Michelle Gehlsen	M2H11-LTLCX	(29.96)	(12,371.73)
Check	09/15/2017	online	Rick Leo	M2H0T-MDDH9	(24.98)	(12,396.71)
Check	09/15/2017	online	Melanie Dane	M2H0X-QHNT1	(22.47)	(12,419.18)
Check	09/15/2017	online	Damon G. Shadid	M2H04-XTHFN	(21.94)	(12,441.12)
Check	09/15/2017	online	Karen Donohue	M2H0K-4RLQC	(21.94)	(12,463.06)
Check	09/15/2017	online	Kevin Ringus	M2H0M-MDZ81	(21.40)	(12,484.46)
Check	09/15/2017	online	Michael Finkle	M2H0F-L19ZH	(17.66)	(12,502.12)
Check	09/15/2017	online	Douglas B. Robinson	M2GYX-07H9Q	(15.00)	(12,517.12)
Check	09/15/2017	online	AOC	MS091117-02	(958.08)	(13,475.20)
Check	09/16/2017	online	David Steiner	M2GYK-KC992	(83.04)	(13,558.24)
Genera...	09/18/2017	CEH	Rebecca Robertson	reverse for duplicate request	112.98	(13,445.26)
Check	09/20/2017	online	Superior Court Judges Association	refund on last year budget M2SC2-C2DQ0	(660.51)	(14,105.77)
Check	09/20/2017	online	Dino W Traverso, PLLC	2016 corp taxes M2SBN-TXJVP	(525.00)	(14,630.77)
Check	09/20/2017	online	Michelle Gehlsen	M2SBT-7WJFH	(87.74)	(14,718.51)
Transfer	09/25/2017			Funds Transfer	10,000.00	(4,718.51)
Check	09/27/2017	online	Dan B Johnson	M48LF-7CPQ3	(196.60)	(4,915.11)
Check	09/27/2017	online	Michael Finkle	M48LF-7GPBD	(212.60)	(5,127.71)
Check	09/27/2017	online	Michelle Gehlsen	M48LF-7GVMN	(212.60)	(5,340.31)
Check	09/28/2017	online	Ingallina's Box Lunch	M43JQ-6Z92K	(105.99)	(5,446.30)
Check	09/29/2017	online	Barbara Barnes	M48MD-G377B	(1,125.00)	(6,571.30)
Check	09/29/2017	online	Samuel G. Meyer	M3Z4G-TZMTT	(53.50)	(6,624.80)
Check	09/29/2017	online	Kimberly Walden	M48M3-PPY7D	(42.10)	(6,666.90)
Check	09/30/2017			reversed on 10-6-17	(14.00)	(6,680.90)
Check	10/03/2017	online	Judy Jasprica	M4W5M-FC2H7	(212.60)	(6,893.50)
Check	10/03/2017	online	Melanie Dane	M4W5R-FHF0T	(212.00)	(7,105.50)
Genera...	10/06/2017	CEH		service charge was charged to account in e...	14.00	(7,091.50)
Check	10/13/2017	online	Pierce County Bookkeeping	M5STZ-KRWH4	(310.00)	(7,401.50)
Check	10/13/2017	online	Melanie Stewart	M5STX-HKG3F	(2,000.00)	(9,401.50)
Check	10/13/2017	online	Christine Michelle Terry, Ph.D.	M5SVD-HX53M	(750.00)	(10,151.50)
Check	10/13/2017	online	AOC	M5SWB-SLKWR	(794.79)	(10,946.29)
Check	10/17/2017	online	Michael J. Lambo		(25.68)	(10,971.97)
Check	10/17/2017	online	Kevin Ringus		(21.40)	(10,993.37)
Check	10/17/2017	online	Douglas B. Robinson		(15.00)	(11,008.37)
Check	10/17/2017	online	Michael Finkle		(16.05)	(11,024.42)
Check	10/17/2017	online	Linda Coburn		(33.17)	(11,057.59)
Check	10/17/2017	online	Douglas Fair		(32.10)	(11,089.69)
Check	10/17/2017	online	Samuel G. Meyer		(53.50)	(11,143.19)
Check	10/17/2017	online	Charles Short		(255.73)	(11,398.92)
Check	10/31/2017	1062	DMCMA	Flowers for Condolences - Shannon Hinchcl...	86.85	(11,312.07)
Total Bank of America - Checking					(11,312.07)	(11,312.07)

Washington State District And Municipal Court Judges Assoc.
Transaction Detail by Account

July through October 2017

Type	Date	Num	Name	Memo	Amount	Balance
Bank of America - Savings						
Deposit	07/31/2017			Interest	0.73	0.73
Deposit	08/31/2017			Interest	0.73	1.46
Transfer	09/25/2017			Funds Transfer	(10,000.00)	(9,998.54)
Deposit	09/30/2017			Interest	0.69	(9,997.85)
Deposit	10/31/2017			Interest	0.56	(9,997.29)
Total Bank of America - Savings					(9,997.29)	(9,997.29)
US Bank - Savings						
Deposit	07/30/2017			Deposit	4.62	4.62
Deposit	07/31/2017			Interest	4.65	9.27
Deposit	09/30/2017			Interest	4.65	13.92
Total US Bank - Savings					13.92	13.92
Washington Federal						
Deposit	07/31/2017			Interest	4.29	4.29
Deposit	08/30/2017			Deposit	50.00	54.29
Deposit	08/31/2017			Interest	4.29	58.58
Deposit	09/29/2017			Deposit	25.00	83.58
Deposit	09/29/2017			Deposit	25.00	108.58
Deposit	09/30/2017			Interest	4.16	112.74
Check	10/31/2017	1062	DMCMA		(86.85)	25.89
Total Washington Federal					25.89	25.89
Accumulated Depreciation						
Genera...	07/31/2017	CEH			(9.58)	(9.58)
Genera...	08/30/2017	CEH			(9.58)	(19.16)
Genera...	09/30/2017	CEH			(9.58)	(28.74)
Genera...	10/30/2017	CEH			(9.58)	(38.32)
Total Accumulated Depreciation					(38.32)	(38.32)
Prepaid Expenses						
Genera...	07/31/2017	CEH		1/12 of Contract	(3,416.66)	(3,416.66)
Genera...	08/30/2017	CEH		1/12 of Contract	(3,416.66)	(6,833.32)
Genera...	09/30/2017	CEH		1/12 of Contract	(3,416.66)	(10,249.98)
Genera...	10/30/2017	CEH		1/12 of Contract	(3,416.66)	(13,666.64)
Total Prepaid Expenses					(13,666.64)	(13,666.64)
Bank of America C. C.						
Credit ...	07/11/2017			Service Charge	(18.23)	(18.23)
Check	08/01/2017				18.23	0.00
Credit ...	10/31/2017		Flowers by Chi		(86.85)	(86.85)
Total Bank of America C. C.					(86.85)	(86.85)
2017 Special Fund						
Check	08/16/2017	online	Pierce County Bookkeeping	special dues that was put in BOA in error.	50.00	50.00
Deposit	08/30/2017	3002	Kevin P Kelly	Deposit	(25.00)	25.00
Deposit	08/30/2017	11595	Jeffrey Smith	Deposit	(25.00)	0.00
Deposit	08/31/2017			Deposit	(50.00)	(50.00)
Deposit	09/29/2017	3845	Heidi Heywood	Deposit	(25.00)	(75.00)
Deposit	09/29/2017	1728	Abigail Bartlett	Deposit	(25.00)	(100.00)
Total 2017 Special Fund					(100.00)	(100.00)
Interest Income						
Deposit	07/30/2017			Deposit	(4.62)	(4.62)
Deposit	07/31/2017			Interest	(0.73)	(5.35)
Deposit	07/31/2017			Interest	(4.29)	(9.64)
Deposit	07/31/2017			Interest	(4.65)	(14.29)
Deposit	08/31/2017			Interest	(0.73)	(15.02)
Deposit	08/31/2017			Interest	(4.29)	(19.31)
Deposit	09/30/2017			Interest	(4.16)	(23.47)
Deposit	09/30/2017			Interest	(4.65)	(28.12)
Deposit	09/30/2017			Interest	(0.69)	(28.81)
Deposit	10/31/2017			Interest	(0.56)	(29.37)
Total Interest Income					(29.37)	(29.37)
Judicial College Program Support						
Check	09/01/2017	online	Judicial Conf. Registrar	M1C0X-CXFH3	1,500.00	1,500.00
Total Judicial College Program Support					1,500.00	1,500.00

Washington State District And Municipal Court Judges Assoc. Transaction Detail by Account

July through October 2017

Type	Date	Num	Name	Memo	Amount	Balance
Prior Year Budget Expense						
Check	07/10/2017	online	Pierce County Bookkeeping	June Invoice 615	315.00	315.00
Check	07/10/2017	online	AOC	Invoice MS061917-02 Board	461.89	776.89
Check	07/10/2017	online	Rebecca Robertson	Board meeting	112.98	889.87
Check	07/10/2017	online	G. Scott Marinella	LW3CV-WGPFQ	181.92	1,071.79
Check	07/10/2017	online	AOC	Conference Calls	394.29	1,466.08
Check	07/10/2017	online	AOC	Trial Court Sentencing committee	281.80	1,747.88
Check	07/10/2017	online	AOC	Jasp	293.68	2,041.56
Check	07/30/2017	online	AOC	board	(201.48)	1,840.08
Check	07/30/2017	online	AOC	conference Calls	68.10	1,908.18
Check	07/30/2017	online	AOC	Diversity Committee	334.26	2,242.44
Check	07/30/2017	online	AOC	JASP	215.38	2,457.82
Check	08/01/2017	online	Kevin Ringus	Board meeting in Spokane	141.98	2,599.80
Check	08/31/2017	online	AOC	board	2,394.45	4,994.25
Check	08/31/2017	online	AOC	conference planning committee	293.77	5,288.02
Check	08/31/2017	online	AOC	Diversity Committee	84.84	5,372.86
Check	08/31/2017	online	AOC	JASP	348.88	5,721.74
Check	08/31/2017	online	AOC	Legislative Committee	110.98	5,832.72
Check	08/31/2017	online	Rebecca Robertson	board meeting	112.98	5,945.70
Check	09/20/2017	online	Superior Court Judges Association	Refund	660.51	6,606.21
Total Prior Year Budget Expense					6,606.21	6,606.21
Board Meeting Expense						
Check	08/18/2017	online	Ingallina's Box Lunch		352.44	352.44
Check	08/31/2017	online	AOC	Printing, postage and supplies	82.42	434.86
Check	09/15/2017	online	Charles Short	M2GYX-05SCF	256.80	691.66
Check	09/15/2017	online	Scott Ahlf	M2GYX-08FTF	53.50	745.16
Check	09/15/2017	online	Michelle Gehlsen	M2H11-LLTCX	29.96	775.12
Check	09/15/2017	online	Rick Leo	M2H0T-MDDH9	24.98	800.10
Check	09/15/2017	online	Melanie Dane	M2H0X-QHNT1	22.47	822.57
Check	09/15/2017	online	Damon G. Shadid	M2H04-XTHFN	21.94	844.51
Check	09/15/2017	online	Karen Donohue	M2H0K-4RLQC	21.94	866.45
Check	09/15/2017	online	Kevin Ringus	M2H0M-MDZ81	21.40	887.85
Check	09/15/2017	online	Michael Finkle	M2H0F-L19ZH	17.66	905.51
Check	09/15/2017	online	Douglas B. Robinson	M2GYX-07H9Q	15.00	920.51
Check	09/15/2017	online	AOC	MS091117-02	921.52	1,842.03
Genera...	09/18/2017	CEH	Rebecca Robertson	reverse for duplicate request	(112.98)	1,729.05
Check	09/20/2017	online	Michelle Gehlsen	M2SBT-7WJFH	87.74	1,816.79
Check	09/27/2017	online	Dan B Johnson	M48LF-7CPQ3	196.60	2,013.39
Check	09/27/2017	online	Michael Finkle	M48LF-7GPBD	212.60	2,225.99
Check	09/27/2017	online	Michelle Gehlsen	M48LF-7GVMN	212.60	2,438.59
Check	10/03/2017	online	Judy Jasprica	M4W5M-FC2H7	212.60	2,651.19
Check	10/03/2017	online	Melanie Dane	M4W5R-FHF0T	212.00	2,863.19
Check	10/13/2017	online	AOC	Invoice MS101017-03	76.50	2,939.69
Check	10/17/2017	online	Michael J. Lambo		25.88	2,965.57
Check	10/17/2017	online	Kevin Ringus		21.40	2,986.97
Check	10/17/2017	online	Douglas B. Robinson		15.00	3,001.77
Check	10/17/2017	online	Michael Finkle		16.05	3,017.82
Check	10/17/2017	online	Linda Coburn		33.17	3,050.99
Check	10/17/2017	online	Douglas Fair		32.10	3,083.09
Check	10/17/2017	online	Samuel G. Meyer		53.50	3,136.59
Check	10/17/2017	online	Charles Short		255.73	3,392.32
Total Board Meeting Expense					3,392.32	3,392.32
Bookkeeping Expense						
Check	08/02/2017	online	Pierce County Bookkeeping	July Invoice	315.00	315.00
Check	09/14/2017	online	Pierce County Bookkeeping	M2SDX-Q5LTD	303.75	618.75
Check	09/20/2017	online	Dino W Traverso, PLLC	2016 corp taxes M2SBN-TXJVP	525.00	1,143.75
Check	10/13/2017	online	Pierce County Bookkeeping	September Invoice 642	310.00	1,453.75
Total Bookkeeping Expense					1,453.75	1,453.75
Conference Calls						
Check	09/15/2017	online	AOC	MS091117-02	36.56	36.56
Check	10/13/2017	online	AOC	M5SWB-SLKWR	53.59	90.15
Total Conference Calls					90.15	90.15
Diversity Committee						
Check	08/18/2017	online	Linda Coburn		86.34	86.34
Total Diversity Committee					86.34	86.34
Education Committee						
Check	10/13/2017	online	AOC	M5SWB-SLKWR	290.40	290.40
Total Education Committee					290.40	290.40

Washington State District And Municipal Court Judges Assoc.
Transaction Detail by Account

July through October 2017

Type	Date	Num	Name	Memo	Amount	Balance
Educational Grants						
Check	09/15/2017	online	Kelli E. Osler	M2GYC03W9BR	1,000.00	1,000.00
Total Educational Grants					1,000.00	1,000.00
Judicial Assistance Committee						
Deposit	08/02/2017		Superior Court Judges Association	Superior Court Judges Association	(6,500.00)	(6,500.00)
Check	08/31/2017	online	Susanna Neil Kanther-Raz	M1C05-L7XQV	300.00	(6,200.00)
Check	09/14/2017	online	Cave B	50% downpayment	2,163.61	(4,036.39)
Check	09/29/2017	online	Barbara Barnes	M48MD-G377B	1,125.00	(2,911.39)
Check	09/29/2017	online	Samuel G. Meyer	M3Z4G-TZMTT	53.50	(2,857.89)
Check	10/13/2017	online	Christeine Michelle Terry, Ph.D.	October 6th presentation on Addiction at Se...	750.00	(2,107.89)
Check	10/13/2017	online	AOC	M5SWB-SLKWR	333.30	(1,774.59)
Total Judicial Assistance Committee					(1,774.59)	(1,774.59)
Legislative Committee						
Check	08/31/2017	online	Samuel G. Meyer		53.50	53.50
Check	08/31/2017	online	Scott Ahlf		53.50	107.00
Check	08/31/2017	online	Melanie Stewart	M1C02-39W8Z	53.50	160.50
Check	09/28/2017	online	Ingallina's Box Lunch	M43JQ-6Z92K	105.99	266.49
Check	10/13/2017	online	AOC	M5SWB-SLKWR	41.00	307.49
Total Legislative Committee					307.49	307.49
Lobbyist Contract						
Check	07/10/2017	online	Melanie Stewart	July Invoice 4445	2,000.00	2,000.00
Genera...	07/31/2017	CEH		1/12 of Contract	3,416.66	5,416.66
Check	08/18/2017	online	Melanie Stewart		2,000.00	7,416.66
Genera...	08/30/2017	CEH		1/12 of Contract	3,416.66	10,833.32
Check	09/14/2017	online	Melanie Stewart	Invoice 4462 M25F2-8JLLH	2,000.00	12,833.32
Genera...	09/30/2017	CEH		1/12 of Contract	3,416.66	16,249.98
Check	10/13/2017	online	Melanie Stewart	Invoice 4469 October, 2017	2,000.00	18,249.98
Genera...	10/30/2017	CEH		1/12 of Contract	3,416.66	21,666.64
Total Lobbyist Contract					21,666.64	21,666.64
MCA Liaison						
Check	08/02/2017	online	Douglas B. Robinson	Meeting in Yakima	220.44	220.44
Total MCA Liaison					220.44	220.44
President Expense						
Check	09/15/2017	online	David Steiner	M2GYH-CLKRF	83.04	83.04
Check	09/16/2017	online	David Steiner	M2GYK-KC992	83.04	166.08
Check	09/29/2017	online	Kimberly Walden	M48M3-PPY7D	42.10	208.18
Total President Expense					208.18	208.18
Treasurer Expense and Bonds						
Credit ...	10/31/2017		Flowers by Chi	Condolences - Shannon Hinchcliffe Taken f...	86.85	86.85
Total Treasurer Expense and Bonds					86.85	86.85
99 - Depreciation Expense						
Genera...	07/31/2017	CEH			9.58	9.58
Genera...	08/30/2017	CEH			9.58	19.16
Genera...	09/30/2017	CEH			9.58	28.74
Genera...	10/30/2017	CEH			9.58	38.32
Total 99 - Depreciation Expense					38.32	38.32
Bank Service Charges						
Check	09/30/2017			Service Charge	14.00	14.00
Genera...	10/06/2017	CEH		service charge was charged to account in e...	(14.00)	0.00
Total Bank Service Charges					0.00	0.00
Interest Expense						
Credit ...	07/11/2017			Service Charge	18.23	18.23
Total Interest Expense					18.23	18.23
TOTAL					0.00	0.00

Other current information not included in reports

DMCJA 2017-2018 Adopted Budget			
ITEM COMMITTEE	Beginning Balance	Total Costs	Ending Balance
Access to Justice Liaison	\$100.00		\$100.00
Audit	\$2,000.00		\$2,000.00
Bar Association Liaison	\$1,500.00		\$1,500.00
Board Meeting Expense	\$30,000.00	\$3,392.00	\$26,608.00
Bookkeeping Expense	\$3,500.00	\$1,453.75	\$2,046.25
Bylaws Committee	\$250.00		\$250.00
Conference Calls	\$250.00	\$90.00	\$160.00
Conference Planning Committee	\$4,000.00		\$4,000.00
Conference Incidental Fees For Members Spring Conference 2018	\$40,000.00		\$40,000.00
Diversity Committee	\$2,000.00	\$86.00	\$1,914.00
DMCJA/SCJA Sentencing Alternatives aka "Trial Ct Sentencing & Supervision Comm"	\$1,000.00		\$1,000.00
DMCMA Liaison	\$500.00		\$500.00
DOL Liaison Committee	\$200.00		\$200.00
Education Committee	\$14,500.00	\$290.40	\$14,209.60
Educational Security			\$0.00
Education-Grants	\$5,000.00	\$1,000.00	\$4,000.00
Judicial Assistance Committee*	\$13,000.00	\$4,725.00	\$8,275.00
Judicial College Social Support	\$1,500.00	\$1,500.00	\$0.00
Judicial Community Outreach	\$4,000.00		\$4,000.00
Judicial Independence Fire Brigade	\$1,000.00		\$1,000.00
Legislative Committee	\$4,000.00	\$307.00	\$3,693.00
Legislative Pro-Tem	\$2,500.00		\$2,500.00
Lobbyist Contract	\$65,000.00	\$49,000.00	\$16,000.00
Lobbyist Expenses	\$1,500.00		\$1,500.00
Long-Range Planning Committee	\$750.00		\$750.00
MCA Liaison	\$1,000.00	\$220.00	\$780.00
Municipal/Dist. Ct Swearing-in 4 yrs. (12/2017)	\$500.00		\$500.00
National Leadership Grants	\$5,000.00		\$5,000.00
Nominating Committee	\$400.00		\$400.00
President Expense	\$5,000.00	\$208.00	\$4,792.00
Pro Tempore (committee chair approval)	\$10,000.00		\$10,000.00
Professional Services	\$5,000.00		\$5,000.00
Public Outreach (ad hoc workgroup)	\$2,500.00		\$2,500.00
Rules Committee	\$500.00		\$500.00
SCJA Board Liaison	\$1,000.00		\$1,000.00
Treasurer Expense and Bonds	\$250.00	\$86.85	\$163.15
Therapeutic Courts Committee	\$1,000.00		\$1,000.00
Trial Court Advocacy Board	\$500.00		\$500.00
Uniform Infraction Committee	\$1,000.00		\$1,000.00
TOTAL	\$231,700.00	\$62,359.00	\$169,341.00
TOTAL DEPOSITS MADE			
CREDIT CARD (balance owing)	\$0.00		

*Includes \$6,500 from the SCJA
Balance as of 10-31-2017

DMCJA 2016-2017 Budget			
ITEM COMMITTEE	Beginning Balance	Total Costs	Ending Balance
1 Access to Justice Liaison	\$500.00	\$0.00	\$500.00
2 Audit	\$2,000.00	\$0.00	\$2,000.00
3 Bar Association Liaison	\$1,500.00	\$0.00	\$1,500.00
4 Board Meeting Expense	\$30,000.00	\$36,511.09	-\$6,511.09
5 Bookeeping Expense	\$3,000.00	\$4,320.00	-\$1,320.00
6 Bylaws Committee	\$250.00	\$0.00	\$250.00
7 Conference Calls	\$750.00	\$588.26	\$161.74
8 Conference Committee	\$4,000.00	\$2,767.92	\$1,232.08
Conference Incidental Fees For Members			
9 Spring Conference 2016	\$40,000.00	\$38,025.00	\$1,975.00
10 Diversity Committee	\$2,000.00	\$2,200.56	-\$200.56
11 DMCJA/SCJA Sentencing Alternatives	\$2,500.00	\$1,302.25	\$1,197.75
12 DMCMA Liaison	\$500.00	\$339.20	\$160.80
13 DOL Liaison Committee	\$500.00	\$0.00	\$500.00
14 Education Committee	\$14,500.00	\$1,912.93	\$12,587.07
15 Educational Grants	\$5,000.00	\$1,398.31	\$3,601.69
16 Education-PJ Conference	\$12,000.00	\$11,278.36	\$721.64
17 Education-Security	\$2,000.00	\$287.20	\$1,712.80
18 Judicial Assistance Committee*	\$14,000.00	\$12,678.97	\$1,321.03
19 Judicial Community Outreach	\$4,000.00	\$341.20	\$3,658.80
20 Legislative Committee	\$4,000.00	\$1,689.68	\$2,310.32
21 Legislative Pro-Tem	\$2,500.00	\$259.33	\$2,240.67
22 Lobbyist Contract	\$61,000.00	\$60,999.96	\$0.04
23 Lobbyist Expenses	\$1,500.00	\$0.00	\$1,500.00
24 Long-Range Planning Committee	\$1,500.00	\$122.05	\$1,377.95
25 MCA Liaison	\$1,500.00	\$443.20	\$1,056.80
26 National Leadership Grants	\$5,000.00	\$2,635.00	\$2,365.00
27 Nominating Committee	\$400.00	\$0.00	\$400.00
28 President Expense	\$7,500.00	\$1,722.75	\$5,777.25
29 Pro Tempore (committee chair approval)	\$10,000.00	\$136.25	\$10,000.00
30 Professional Services	\$15,000.00	\$0.00	\$15,000.00
31 Rules Committee	\$1,000.00	\$270.50	\$729.50
32 SCJA Board Liaison	\$1,000.00	\$171.70	\$828.30
33 Therapeutic Courts	\$3,500.00	\$0.00	\$3,500.00
34 Treasurer Expense and Bonds	\$1,000.00	\$54.00	\$946.00
36 Trial Court Advocacy Board	\$3,000.00	\$416.81	\$2,583.19
37 Uniform Infraction Committee	\$1,000.00	\$0.00	\$1,000.00
Pro-Tem Cost (new item)	\$30,000.00	\$11,910.18	\$18,089.82
Judicial College Program Support	\$1,500.00	\$1,500.00	\$0.00
TOTAL	\$290,900.00	\$196,282.66	\$94,753.59
TOTAL DEPOSITS MADE	\$185,775.00		
CREDIT CARD (balance owing)	\$0.00		

*Includes \$7,000 from the SCJA
Balance as of 10-30-2017

Washington Federal. invested here.

www.washingtonfederal.com

WA STATE DIST & MUNICIPAL COURT JUDGES'
JUDGE SCOTT AHLF
PO BOX 1967
OLYMPIA, WA 98507-1967

Statement of Account

PAGE 1 OF 1

Statement Ending Date October 31, 2017
Last Statement Date October 1, 2017
Account Number [REDACTED]

To report a lost or stolen card,
call 800-472-3272.

For 24-hour telephone banking,
call 877-431-1876.

For questions or assistance with your account(s),
please call us at 800-324-9375 or stop by your local branch.

Business Money Market Summary - # [REDACTED]

Annual Percentage Yield Earned for this Statement Period	0.100%
Interest Rate	0.100%
Year-to-Date Interest Paid	\$41.14

Beginning Balance	\$50,664.67
Interest Earned This Period	+4.30
Deposits and Credits	+0.00
Checks Paid	-0.00
ATM, Electronic and Debit Card Withdrawals	-0.00
Other Transactions	-0.00
Ending Balance	\$50,668.97

	Total for This Period	Total Year-to-Date
Total Overdraft Fees	\$0.00	\$0.00
Total Returned Item Fees	\$0.00	\$0.00

Interest Earned This Period

Date	Description	Amount
10-31	Credit Interest	4.30
Total Interest Earned This Period		4.30


Social Safety Tip

Have you ever been asked a challenge question like "What was your first car?" or "What was your first job?"

While these seem like innocent questions, they are common challenge questions used when people forget passwords for Online Banking.

Criminals are harvesting this information to gain access to consumer accounts.

Before you join in, be sure that you're not social sharing a way into your accounts.

 Equal Housing Lender
MEMBER FDIC
Equal on Products not FDIC insured.

WF-01 (2/17)

Visa may provide updated debit card information, including your expiration date and card number, with merchants that have an agreement for reoccurring payments. You may opt out of this service by calling 1-800-324-9375.



DMCJA Legislative Committee Meeting

FRIDAY, SEPTEMBER 8, 2017

Via Teleconference

9:30 a.m. to 12:00 p.m.

MEETING MINUTES

Members:

Chair, Judge Samuel G. Meyer
~~Judge Brett Buckley~~
Judge Janet Garrow
~~Judge Robert Grim~~
~~Judge Corinna Harn~~
Judge Gregg Hirakawa
~~Judge Nancy McAllister~~
~~Judge Glenn Phillips~~
~~Judge Wade Samuelson~~
Judge Jeffrey Smith
~~Judge Shelley Szambelan~~
Judge Thomas Verge
Janene Johnstone, MCA Liaison
Maryam Olson, DMCMA Liaison
Kathy Seymour, DMCMA Liaison

AOC Staff:

Ms. J Benway
Ms. Sharon Harvey

Guests:

Judge Scott Ahlf, DMCJA President
WA State Representative Roger Goodman
Melanie Stewart, Legislative Representative
Sarah Stewart, Ms. Stewart's associate

1. CALL TO ORDER

Judge Meyer called the meeting to order at 9:33 a.m. The Committee members introduced themselves.

Representative Roger Goodman joined the call at approximately 10:00 a.m. Judge Meyer thanked him for attending and for being an advocate for judicial concerns. Rep. Goodman thanked the Committee members for their service and stated that he intended to convene a DUI Workgroup later this year.

2. GENERAL BUSINESS

A. Minutes: It was motioned, seconded and passed to approve the minutes for the August 18, 2017 meeting as presented.

B. Legislative Committee Roster: The Committee was provided with the most current Committee roster.

3. DMCJA LEGISLATIVE PROPOSALS FOR 2018

A. Powers of commissioners – Limitations

Judge Szambelan provided a memo regarding the proposal to expand the statutory authority of municipal court commissioners. Judge Hirakawa recommended that the proposal include City of Seattle magistrates. Ms. Melanie Stewart asked if the Committee knew of any groups that would

be opposed to the proposal and none were identified. The Committee agreed with the proposed statutory changes and recommended that they be presented to the DMCJA Board.

B. Statutory Clean-Up: Deferred Sentence and Misdemeanors

1. Whether a court of limited jurisdiction (CLJ) has jurisdiction for up to five years over a deferred sentence for a domestic violence offense.

The Committee discussed the proposal from Judge Phillips and determined that it would not be a priority at this time. Judge Meyer will communicate with Judge Phillips regarding the Committee's decision.

2. Whether RCW 3.50.440 should be revised to be consistent with RCW 9A.20.010(2) regarding default penalties.

The Committee discussed Judge Phillips' proposal and determined that the practical implications were not sufficiently compelling, and, therefore, the Committee did not want to pursue a legislative amendment at this time.

C. Weapons allowed to Judges and Court Commissioners

In response to this suggestion, the Committee requested Judge Harn review and comment on the previous legislative proposal regarding court security. Judge Harn provided a memo in which she stated that a definition of "court security" would be helpful for the proposed legislation. The Committee decided that, in light of the recent court rule regarding court security and because it is likely to be opposed, they would not pursue a proposal regarding court security or weapons at this time.

D. Ignition Interlock Device (IID) under Deferred Prosecution

The item was raised while Representative Goodman was on the call. Judge Portnoy was concerned about a potential statutory conflict between RCW 10.05.140 and RCW 46.20.720 regarding Ignition Interlock Devices in a deferred prosecution. Judge Ahlf stated his concern regarding statutory inconsistency. Judge Goodman and the Committee determined that this was a good issue to be considered by the DUI Workgroup.

With Judge Goodman on the line, Committee members discussed related items of concern, including:

- When should the BAC fee be imposed if DUI charges are reduced?
- Must an IID be imposed if alcohol is not an issue for an impaired driving violation?
- How much discretion should judges have regarding the imposition of an IID?

It was agreed that these are appropriate issues for the DUI Workgroup to discuss.

E. Matching Money for Therapeutic Courts

Judge Meyer stated that it was unclear whether the legislature intended to continue the restriction of funds for therapeutic courts in RCW 2.30.040 or whether it was an oversight. The Committee is unsure whether there are practical impacts from the law in its current state. Ms. Stewart agreed to speak with legislative staff regarding the proposal.

F. Clarification request for district and municipal courts regarding Electronic Home Monitoring (EHM) and Electronic Home Detention (EHD) as it relates to the Sentencing Reform Act (SRA)

Judge Hirakawa reviewed the matter and provided a memo to the Committee regarding the EHD legislation that raised issues for the Committee to consider. Judge Meyer stated that he believes the legislation was meant to apply to courts of limited jurisdiction (CLJs). The Committee discussed whether it was appropriate for the DMCJA to propose legislation that could tax judicial resources, particularly for smaller CLJs. The Committee requested that Ms. Harvey reach out to smaller jurisdictions to inquire about the potential impact. This item will be brought back at the next Committee meeting.

G. Statutory amendments related to Domestic Violence Protection Order (DVPO), Sexual Assault Protection Order (SAPO), harassment, and stalking to extend 14 day period for a full order hearing of the issuance of a temporary order

This issue was also discussed while Representative Goodman was on the call. Judge Garrow explained her proposal to revise the protection order statutes to allow for a 30-day extension beyond the mandated 14-day period for a full order hearing following the temporary order. Judge Garrow provided draft language to the Committee. Representative Goodman stated that it sounded like a good efficiency measure. This proposal will be presented to the Board.

H. Interlocal Agreements for Probation Services

Judge Larson proposed statutory amendments to authorize municipal courts and district courts to cooperate on probation services. Judge Buckley reviewed the issue, as well as proposed language provided by Judge Larson, and recommends going forward with the proposal. Judge Garrow recommended certain language changes that were accepted by the Committee. This proposal will go forward to the Board.

I. Request for fees collected by courts and paid to state simplified into one amount with one place

This proposal was referred to the Senate Bill (SB) 6360 Statewide Relicensing Workgroup for consideration. The Workgroup will meet on September 15, 2017.

J. Request for cap on pre-trial monetary fees to be lifted

Judge Steele also proposed a change to RCW 10.01.160 to lift the cap on pretrial fees if the defendant and prosecutor agree. The Committee decided not to pursue this proposal.

K. Clarification request of whether DNA fee should be collected as to adults as well as juvenile offenders if DNA has been previously provided

Judge Langsdorf requested clarification regarding application of RCW 43.43.7541 to adult offenders. Judge Meyer reviewed the issue and stated that the issue could be easily fixed and may only require a technical amendment. Ms. Stewart will check with the Code Reviser's Office. This item will be discussed at the next meeting.

L. Statute of limitations for infractions/*Granath* fix

Judge Szambelan raised a concern regarding a statute of limitations for infractions. The Committee discussed the issue and decided not to pursue it at this time. Judge Meyer will communicate this to Judge Szambelan.

M. Modification of RCW 3.50.300, Jail in lieu of fine and costs, computation

Judge Phillips provided a memo regarding this statute, which provides that a defendant can be jailed for failing to pay a fine or costs, which is inconsistent with case law. The Committee agreed that this should be amended and will propose that it be addressed as part of a legal financial obligations (LFO) bill.

4. PROPOSED LEGISLATION

A. 2017 Legislative Session – DMCJA Proposed Bills that did not pass:

1. Discover Pass –

Ms. Stewart stated that she should know by the end of September if a state agency was going to request legislation creating a fee split with local jurisdictions.

2. DNA Samples –

The Committee would like to go forward with the proposal to require WSP to test DNA samples from municipal courts.

3. Commissioners to Solemnize Marriage –

The Committee would like to go forward with the proposal to add district court commissioners to the marriage solemnization statute, but only if Senate leadership changes. Ms. Stewart will inquire with the Code Reviser if this legislation could be combined with the proposal regarding the authority of municipal court commissioners, as both concern the powers of commissioners in courts of limited jurisdiction.

4. Small Claims –

Judge Garrow proposed streamlining small claims court procedure but the proposal was opposed by Senator Padden because it wasn't revenue-neutral. Representative Goodman stated that he was planning to reintroduce this legislation.

Judge Meyer will present the above-reference formerly proposed bills to the DMCJA Board of Governors for approval to include them in 2018 DMCJA legislation.

5. INFORMATION

A. 2017-2018 DMCJA Legislative Committee Meeting Schedule

The Committee was presented with a revised meeting schedule.

B. Fiscal Note (Judicial Impact Note) Team has open DMCJA positions

C. Senate Law & Justice Committee meeting October 24, 2017

The Senate Law & Justice Committee is meeting on October 24 in Spokane. One of the agenda items is "Expanding the jurisdiction of small claims courts." Judge Smith stated that he was willing to go to the meeting and report back to the Committee regarding this issue.

6. OTHER BUSINESS

A. Next Meeting: Friday, October 13, 2017, 9:30 a.m. to 12:00 p.m.

The Committee agreed to meet telephonically for the October meeting.

Judge Meyer stated that he was going to present the DMCJA Board with the legislative proposals at the October meeting as the September meeting is during the annual fall judicial conference. The Committee agreed to present the proposals to the Board without prioritizing them.

7. ADJOURN

The meeting was adjourned at 11:27 a.m.

From: [Harvey, Sharon](#)
To: ["PUBLICDMCJA@LISTSERV.COURTS.WA.GOV"](mailto:PUBLCDMCJA@LISTSERV.COURTS.WA.GOV)
Cc: [Solan, Susan \[iMail\]](#); ["Scott Ahlf"](#); votesrus2@comcast.net; [Horenstein, Brady](#); [Harvey, Sharon](#)
Subject: DMCJA Public Outreach Campaign - Take Your Legislator To Work Week (November 6-9, 2017)
Date: Friday, October 27, 2017 1:21:00 PM
Attachments: [Court Tours For Legislators.pdf](#)
[State Percentage of Expenditures 2012.pdf](#)
[2017-2018 DMCJA Priorities.pdf](#)
[2017 Leg Fact Sheet CLJ CMS.PDF](#)
[Leg Roster.xlsx](#)

The following message is sent on behalf of Judge Susan Solan, Chair of the DMCJA Public Outreach Committee.

Dear Colleagues,

On behalf of the DMCJA's Public Outreach Committee, I am writing to you about a new initiative in which we would like you to participate. This is the "Take Your Legislator to Work Week" that has been slated for November 6-9, 2017. The vision is that each judge would reach out to state legislators and/or local funding bodies to educate them on the work of the courts. It is our belief that education and exposure will inform and remind the funding bodies that the courts do essential work and need to be funded on an ongoing basis.

The DMCJA's 2017-2018 top three priorities set by our board are (1) full court funding, (2) a modern case management system, and (3) adequate courthouse security. These, along with other local issues you may have identified, are recommended topics of discussion. Suggested talking points on these issues are as follows:

Full Court Funding

In 2011, Justice Madsen explained that the funding system for our courts was established in 1889 and has undergone minimal revision. As a result, the state government funds approximately 15.5 percent of court operations, while the counties must pay the remaining 84.5 percent. This leaves Washington 50th out of 50 states in state funding provided to the judicial system.

Modern Case Management System

Our membership presides over and processes the largest number of cases in the judicial system, more than 2 million each year. That's 258 courts of limited jurisdiction processing 87 percent of the caseload of Washington courts – more than 18 million transactions a month. The 30-year old technology in use can no longer meet the processing, scheduling and information needs of our courts. A demonstration of the DOS-based system may speak louder than words as to how clunky and out-of-date the current software really is.

Adequate Courthouse Security

We go to work every day in buildings where disputes and charges are resolved. Naturally, this is a contentious environment. GR 36 was adopted by the Supreme Court to establish minimum court standards for courthouse safety to protect participants, staff and the public. All funding bodies need to be educated on the steps required under the new rule to make

our workplaces safe.

Other judicial priorities include funding for court system education and interpreter services.

For your convenience, resources are attached which you can use when contacting your legislator/funding body. If a visit to your courtroom is not feasible, maybe even a lunch or other casual meeting to discuss the courts would be possible. Any attempt to reach out is appreciated. For more information regarding inviting local legislators to your courtroom, please feel free to contact Ms. Melanie Stewart, DMCJA Lobbyist, at votesrus2@comcast.net or (360) 556-8280, and/or Mr. Brady Horenstein, Administrative Office of the Courts Associate Director of Legislative Affairs, at Brady.Horenstein@courts.wa.gov or (360) 357-2113. Thank you for taking the time to advocate for our courts.

Sincerely,

Judge Susan Solan
DMCJA Public Outreach Committee Chair